	۵.	DIA	DIAGNOSTIC LABORATORY PRELIMINARY COST ANALYSIS BY SECTION FISCAL YEAR 2015	ABORATO VALYSIS B1 AR 2015	RY Y SECTIOI	7		
LABORATORY SECTION	Clinical Pathology Laboratory Section	Milk Laboratory Section	Clinical Microbiology - Bacteriology, Parasitology & Mycology Section	Serology Laboratory Section	H Virology Laboratory Section	Histopathology/ Pathology Laboratory Section	Molecular Diagnostics Laboratory Section	Total Diagnostic & Milk Lab Expenses
Direct Costs and Direct Overhead Personal Services	Ś 78,180	\$ 58.698	\$ 131.385	\$ 132.247 \$	131.821 \$	248 315	¢ 193 021	۲33 <i>6</i> 73
Operating Costs Capital Leases	139,26	66,649	89,075	80,311				
Total Direct Costs and Direct Overhead	217,443	125,347	220,460	212,558	198,200	360,909	359,770	1,694,687
Administrative and Overhead Personal Services	35,525	18,128	54,378	36,244	36,250	54,378	54,378	289,281
Operating Total Administrative and Overhead	10,253 45.778	7,504 25.632	19,728 74.106	12,669 48.913	12,347 48.597	43,605 97,983	20,312 74 690	126,418 415 699
Expended Cost per Section	263,221	150,979	294,566	261,471	246,797	458,892	434,460	2.110.386
Less Equipment & Equip Leases	na na sana na mana na m	-	E E		1	(18,931)	e E	(18,931)
Annualized equipment cost over five years	21,653	30,324	12,365	10,047	6,328	40,340	40,761	161,818
Cost per Section	\$ 284,874	\$ 181,303 :	\$ 306,931	<u>\$                                    </u>	253,125 \$	480,301	\$ 475,221	\$ 2,253,273
Total Tests by Lab Section Average Cost / Test	94,151 \$ 3.03	25,531	9,727 \$ 31.55	106,652 \$ 2.55 \$	15,368 16.47 \$	9,328 51.49	14,180 \$ 33.51	274,937 \$ 8.20
Zoonotic/Public Health Tests Total Zoonotic Testing	, \$	25,531 \$ <b>181,303</b>	6,464 \$ 203,969	91,202 \$ 232,185 \$	8,210 135,226 \$	3,772 <b>194,221</b>	534 <b>\$ 17,896</b>	135,713 \$ 964,800
Industry Economic Risk Tests Total Industry Economic Risk Testing	, , \$	· ·	2,588 \$ 81,663	13,586 \$ 34,588 \$	6,191 <b>101,971 \$</b>	3,772 <b>194,221</b>	13,646 \$ 457,325	39,783 \$ 869,768
Other Tests Total Other Testing	94,151 <b>\$ 284,874</b>	, , , ,	675 \$ 21,299	1,864 \$ 4,745 \$	967 <b>15,928 \$</b>	1,784 <b>91,859</b>	· · \$	99,441 \$ 418,705

	RELIN	IINAR	DEP/ DIA XY REV	ARTN GNC FIS(	RTMENT OF LIVES SNOSTIC LABORAT ENUE TO COST AN FISCAL YEAR 2015	DEPARTMENT OF LIVESTOCK DIAGNOSTIC LABORATORY PRELIMINARY REVENUE TO COST ANALYSIS BY SECTION FISCAL YEAR 2015	OCK JRY LYSIS B	Y SEC	NOIT			
LABORATORY SECTION	Clinical Pathology Laboratory Section		Milk Laboratory Section		Clinical Microbiology - Bacteriology, Parasitology & Mycology	Serology Laboratory Section	Vindlogy Laboratery Section		Histopathology/ Pathology Laboratory Section	Molecular Diagnostics Laboratory Section	Toti 8 8	Total Diagnostic & Milk Lab Expenses
Zoonotic Labaratory Revenues Zoonotic Testing Costs Excess Costs over Revenues	ጭ ጭ <b>እ</b>	· · · ·	115,250 (181,303) (66,053)	0 \$ 3) \$	89,828 \$ (203,969) \$ (114,141) \$	150,859 (232,185) (81,326)	\$ 17,488 \$ (135,226) <b>\$ (117,738)</b>	ა ა <b>ა</b>	53,302 \$ (194,221) \$ (140,919) \$	\$ 17,657 \$ (17,896) <b>\$ (239</b> )	\$ \$ <b>\$</b>	444,384 (964,800) (520,416)
Economic Impact Revenues Econcomic Testing Costs Excess Costs over Revenues	ላ ላ	· · <b>·</b>		ა ა <b>ა</b>	21,647 \$ (81,663) \$ (60,016) \$	42,682 (34,588) <b>8,094</b>	\$ 39,573 \$ (101,971) \$ (62,398)	ა ა <b>ა</b>	53,303 5 (194,221) 5 (140,918) 5	\$ 189,978 \$ (457,325) <b>\$ (267,347)</b>	\$ \$ \$	347,183 (869,768) (522,585)
Other Testing Revenues Other Testing Costs Excess Costs over Revenues	\$ 11 \$ (28	111,803 \$ (284,874) \$ (173,071) \$		ა ა <b>ა</b>	6,471 \$ (21,299) \$ (14,828) \$	15,401 (4,745) <b>10,656</b>	\$ 7,259 \$ (15,928) <b>\$ (8,669)</b>	9 \$ 8) \$ <b>9) \$</b>	25,210 \$ (91,859) \$ <b>(66,649) \$</b>	1 I I	<b>~</b> ~ ~	166,144 (418,705) (252,561)
TOTAL Diagnostic Lab Fees by Section Cost per Section Excess Costs over Revenues	\$ 11 \$ (11	111,803 \$ (284,874) \$ (173,071) \$	115,250 (181,303) (66,053)	0 \$ 3) \$	117,946 \$ (306,931) \$ <b>(188,985) \$</b>	208,942 (271,518) <b>(62,576)</b>	\$ 64,320 <u>\$ (253,125)</u> <b>\$ (188,805)</b>	0 \$ 5) \$	131,815 \$ (480,301) \$ <b>(348,486) \$</b>	207,635 (475,221) (267,586)	\$ \$ \$	957,711 (2,253,273) (1,295,562)
Revenues above are lab fess collected from veterinarians and other users/customers of the lab. The department pays 100% of the milk laboratory expenses from milk inspection fees assessed to producers. The diagnostic laboratory outsources specialized tests to other labs. The contracted laboratories charge the Montana Veterinary Diagnositic Laboratory. MVDL charges the client for these charges plus shipping and handling. These referral revenues are not included above.	om veterina aboratory ex ecialized tes g. These refe	rians and openses fructs to othe erral rever	other users om milk ins r labs. The nues are no	/custon pection contrac t includ	ners of the lab fees assessed ted laboratori ed above.	to producers. es charge the M	ontana Vete	rinary Diag	nositic Labo	ratory. MVDL	charge	s the client for

 $\sim$ 

DEPARTMENT OF LIVESTOCK DIAGNOSTIC LABORATORY PRELIMINARY COST ANALYSIS BY SECTION FISCAL YEAR 2015
This report is a preliminary analysis. The numbers for Zoonotic testing is not final. A review of the tests that encompasses Zoonotic diseases is being reviewed. The total costs for Zoonotic and the Non-Zoonotic tests are not the department's final analysis.
Expenses for personal services was calculated by obtaining a personal services expense report for all employees. Employee salaries and benefits were extracted for each of the sections listed above. 50% of the laboratory director personal service expenses were allocated to the Pathology Laboratory section and the remaining 50% was similarly allocated to all sections in the Administrative and Overhead - Personal Services. The personal service expenses that are not directly related to any of these sections were allocated based on FTE's.
The allocation for supply expenses was derived from the lab's purchase-order database and expensed to the corresponding areas reported in the PO database. Supplies for areas not reported above were allocated to the lab sections above based on FTE's.
Area of the laboratory includes shared areas such as hallways, bathrooms, breakrooms, reception and receiving areas. These areas were allocated to the sections reported above using a square footage percentage allocation.
Utilities and recharge expense were spread to all areas based on square footage of the entire lab.
Equipment and capital leases are not included in the total cost because it does not account fully for replacing or purchasing equipment. An annualized equipment currently owned by the department at historical
purchase price and amortized over five years. The equipment report maintained by the laboratory designates the section the equipment is located. Some equipment could be used be different sections. Equipment not located in any of the sections above is allocated using total
equipment costs.
The remaining expenses were allocated by using either an FTE or the square footage allocation method.

¢ v

PRELIMINA       LABORATORY SECTION     Clinical Pathology       LABORATORY SECTION     Clinical Pathology       Laboratory     Section       Personal Services     55,115     57,901       Operating Costs     139,842     64,299       Capital Leases     139,842     64,299       Total Direct Costs and Direct Overhead     139,842     64,299       Operating Costs     139,842     64,299       Capital Leases     139,842     64,299       Total Direct Costs and Direct Overhead     204,957     122,200       Administrative and Overhead     41,962     21,412       Personal Services     11,817     7,857       Operating     53,779     29,269       Lass Equipment & Equip Leases     11,817     7,857       Less Equipment & Equip Leases     11,817     7,857	RY COST ANA         FISCAL YEAR         Clinical         Microbiology         Bacteriology         Bacteriology         Nycology Section         249,366         249,366         64,229         64,229         86,285         835,651	LYSIS BY SE 2014 Serology UV Laboratory Lab Section 5 93,314 230,104	SECTION Virology Histo Virology Laboratory Section 5 77,666 77,666 207,030	Histopathology bi Pathology Di Laboratory La Section 111,730 1967 1967 64,229	Molecular Diagnostics Laboratory Section 184,102 185,320 185,320	Total Diagnostic & Milk Lab Expenses \$ 955,667 777,220 19,967 <b>1,752,854</b>	agnostic & 955,667 777,220 19,967
Clinical Pathology Laboratory Section 5 65,115 \$ 139,842 204,957 11,817 53,779 53,779 258,736 1	Clinical Microbiology - Bacteriology & Parasitology & Mycology Section 249,366 - 249,366 64,229 5 22,056 86,225	0 4 - <b>4</b> 0 6	4 0 ' <b>0</b> ∞			S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	nostic & kpenses 355,667 777,220 19,967 5 <b>2,854</b>
\$ 65,115 \$ 139,842 - 204,957 1 41,817 11,817 53,779 1	\$ 144,317 105,049 - <b>249,366</b> 64,229 86,229 335,651				184,102 185,320 <b>369,422</b>	Э	955,667 777,220 19,967 <b>52,854</b>
139,842 <b>204,957 1</b> 41,962 11,817 53,779 258,736 1	n n m	93,314 - <b>230,104</b> 42,812 14,229	77,666 - <b>207,030</b> 42,818	111,730 19,967 <b>369,775</b> 64,229	185,320 	1,7	777,220 19,967 <b>52,854</b>
204,957 1 41,962 11,817 53,779 258,736 1		<b>230,104</b> 42,812 14,229	<b>207,030</b> 42,818	<b>369,775</b> 64,229	369,422	1,7	52,854
41,962 11,817 53,779 258,736 1	m	42,812 14,229	42,818	64,229			
258,736		14,223		1	64,229		341,691
<b>258,736</b> Leases		57,041	13,912 56,730	45,534 109,763	22,631 86,860		138,036 479,727
Less Equipment & Equip Leases	A CONTRACTOR OF A CONTRACTOR O	287,145	263,760	479,538	456,282	2,2	2,232,581
	1	8	4	(19,967)			(19,967)
over five years 21,653 30,324	12,365	10,047	6,328	40,340	40,761		161,818
Cost per Section <u>\$ 280,389 \$ 181,793</u>	\$ 348,016 \$	297,192 \$	270,088 \$	499,911 \$	497,043	\$ 2,3	2,374,432
ction 120,615 25	9,362				1		268,867
Average Lost / lest	ş 37.17 Ş	3.49 \$	15.21 \$	54.88 \$	46.01	Ş	8.83
Zoonotic/Public Health Tests - 25,108 Total Zoonotic Testing <del>\$ - \$ 181,793</del>	6,092 \$ <b>226,459</b> \$	70,444 <b>245,672 \$</b>	8,804 133,873 \$	3,292 <b>180,668 \$</b>	37 1,702	¢ 0	113,777 970,167
Industry Economic Risk Tests	2,614 \$ 97,171 \$	13,916 <b>48,532 \$</b>	7,998 121,617 \$	3,292 <b>180,668 \$</b>	10,766 495,341	s S	38,586 943.329
Other Tests 120,615 -	656	857	096	2,525	1		125,613
Total Other Testing \$ 280,389 \$ -	\$	2,988 \$	14,598 \$	138,575 \$	I	\$ 4	460,936

r 2

4

Galoo	RELIN		V R D K	ART VGN( FIN	DEPARTMENT OF LIVESTOCK DIAGNOSTIC LABORATORY REVENUE TO COST ANALYSI FISCAL YEAR 2014	AB0 20S1 AR2	NESTC IRATO - ANAL	DEPARTMENT OF LIVESTOCK DIAGNOSTIC LABORATORY PRELIMINARY REVENUE TO COST ANALYSIS BY SECTION FISCAL YEAR 2014	SECT.	ON ON			
LABORATORY SECTION	Clinical Pathology Laboratory Section	cal logy on	Milk Laboratory Section		Clinical Microbiology - Bacteriology & Mycology & Section	Serc Laboi Sec	Serology Laboratory Section	Virology Laboratory Section	Histopathology/ Pathology Laboratory Section	ology/ ogy tory m	Molecular Diagnostics Laboratory Section	L Tota & &	Total Diagnostic & Milk Lab Expenses
Zoonotic Labaratory Revenues Zoonotic Testing Costs Excess Costs over Revenues	∽ <b>∽</b>	1	\$ 119,539 (181,793) <b>\$ (62,254)</b>	39 \$ 93) <b>54) \$</b>	77,902 (226,459) <b>(148,557)</b>	\$ <b>3</b>	113,750 \$ (245,672) (131,922) \$	16,759 (133,873) (117,114)	ა. <b>ა</b>	47,095 \$ (180,668) (133,573) \$	837 (1,702) ( <b>865)</b>	\$ ()	375,882 (970,167) (594,285)
Economic Impact Revenues Econcomic Testing Costs Excess Costs over Revenues	<b>به</b>			ა. <b>ა</b>	18,625 (97,171) (78,546)	√x √x	62,347 \$ (48,532) <b>13,815 \$</b>	47,701 (121,617) ( <b>73,916)</b>	∽ <b>x</b>	47,095 \$ (180,668) (133,573) \$	187,047 (495,341) (308,294)	\$ <b>3</b>	362,815 (943,329) (580,514)
Other Testing Revenues Other Testing Costs Excess Costs over Revenues	\$ 1. \$ (28	119,262 (280,389) ( <b>161,127)</b>	\$ <b>\$</b>	∽ <b>∕∽</b>	5,896 (24,386) (18,490)	v, <b>v</b> ,	6,427 \$ (2,988) <b>3,439 \$</b>	20,938 (14,598) <b>6,340</b>	ۍ <b>ب</b>	36,122 \$ (138,575) (102,453) \$		~ <b>~</b>	188,645 (460,936) (272,291)
Diagnostic Lab Fees by Section Cost per Section Excess Costs over Revenues	\$ 11 <b>\$</b> (28	119,262 (280,389) (161,127) (119,127)	\$ 119,539 (181,793) <b>\$ (62,254)</b>	39 \$ 93) <b>54) \$</b>	102,423 (348,016) (245,593)	\$ <b>\$</b>	182,524 \$ (297,192) (114,668) \$	85,398 (270,088) (184,690)	ۍ <b>به</b>	130,312 \$ (499,911) ( <b>369,599) \$</b>	187,884 (497,043) (309,159)	\$ <b>3</b>	927,342 (2,374,432) (1,447,090)
Revenues above are lab fess collected from veterinarians and other users/customers of the lab. The department pays 100% of the milk laboratory expenses from milk inspection fees assessed to producers The diagnostic laboratory outsources specialized tests to other labs. The contracted laboratories charge the these charges plus shipping and handling. These referral revenues are not included above.	om veterina aboratory e> ecialized tes 3. These ref	rians anc (penses f ts to oth erral reve	l other use rom milk ir er labs. Th inues are n	rs/custo 1spectio e contra 1ot inclu	and other users/customers of the less from milk inspection fees assess other labs. The contracted laborat revenues are not included above.	lab. sed to pr ories chi	oducers. arge the Mc	and other users/customers of the lab. ses from milk inspection fees assessed to producers. other labs. The contracted laboratories charge the Montana Veterinary Diagnositic Laboratory. MVDL charges the client for revenues are not included above.	lary Diagno	sitic Labo	ratory. MVDL	L charge:	s the client fo

1 r

S

DEPARTMENT OF LIVESTOCK
DIAGNOSTIC LABORATORY
PRELIMINARY COST ANALYSIS BY SECTION
FISCAL YEAR 2014
This report is a preliminary analysis. The numbers for Zoonotic testing is not final. A review of the tests that encompasses Zoonotic diseases is being reviewed. The total costs for Zoonotic and the Non-Zoonotic tests are not the department's final analysis.
Expenses for personal services was calculated by obtaining a personal services expense report for all employees. Employee salaries and benefits were extracted for each of the sections listed above. 50% of the laboratory director personal service expenses were allocated to the Pathology Laboratory section and the remaining 50% was similarly allocated to all sections in the Administrative and Overhead - Personal Services. The personal service expenses that are not directly related to any of these sections were allocated based on FTE's.
The allocation for supply expenses was derived from the lab's purchase-order database and expensed to the corresponding areas reported in the PO database. Supplies for areas not reported above were allocated to the lab sections above based on FTE's.
Area of the laboratory includes shared areas such as hallways, bathrooms, breakrooms, reception and receiving areas. These areas were allocated to the sections reported above using a square footage percentage allocation.
Utilities and recharge expense were spread to all areas based on square footage of the entire lab.
Equipment and capital leases are not included in the total cost because it does not account fully for replacing or purchasing equipment. An annualized equipment currently owned by the department at historical
purchase price and amortized over five years. The equipment report maintained by the laboratory designates the section the equipment is
locarea. Joine equipment courd be used be annerent sections. Equipment not locared in any of the sections above is anotated using total equipment costs.
The remaining expenses were allocated by using either an FTE or the square footage allocation method.

,

ဖ

				DEPARTMENT OF LIVESTOCK DIAGNOSTIC LABORATORY PRELIMINARY COST ANALYSIS BY SECTION FISCAL YEAR 2013	- LIVESTO BORATOF ALYSIS BY R 2013	CK KY SECTIO	Z				
LABORATORY SECTION	Clinical Pathology Laboratory Section	Labc Se	Milk Laboratory Section	Clinical Microbiology - Bacteriology, Parasitology & Mycology Section	Serology Laboratory Section	Virology Laboratory Section	Histopathology/ Pathology Laboratory Section	-	Molecular Diagnostics Laboratory Section	Total & I Ex	Total Diagnostic & Milk Lab Expenses
Direct Costs and Direct Overhead Personal Services	\$ 60.368	<u>ب</u> ې	53.679	ş 133.794	\$ 126.816	\$ 119.932	-V-	\$ 017.022	170.678	Ś	885.986
Operating Costs							÷		167,681	ŀ	724,411
Equipment Capital Leases			τ.	τı	1		Ď	- 24,998	1 3		24,998
Total Direct Costs and Direct Overhead	185,603		107,036	245,936	201,589	206,350	e	350,522	338,359		1,635,395
Administrative and Overhead Personal Services	38,902		19,851	59,546	39,690	39,696		59,546	59,546		316,777
Operating	11,810		8,060	21,545	13,948	13,669		42,065	22,047		133,144
Equipment Total Administrative and Overhead	51,358		329 28,240	388 82,079	54,296	54,023		988 102,599	988 82,581		455,176
Expended Cost per Section	236,961		135,276	328,015	255,885	260,373		453,121	420,940		2,090,571
Less Equipment & Equip Leases Annualized Equipment Cost	(646)	()	(329)	(988)	(658)	(658)		(25,986)	(886)		(30,253)
over five years	21,653		30,324	12,365	10,047	6,328		40,340	40,761		161,818
Cost per Section	\$ 257,968	Ş	165,271 \$	339,392	\$ 265,274	\$ 266,043	ŝ	467,475 \$	460,713	Ş	2,222,136
Total Tests by Lab Section	145,654	_	25,027	10,953	78,675	27,056		10,158	10,256		297,621
Average Cost / Test	\$ 1.77	\$	6.60	\$ 30.99	\$ 3.37	\$ 9.83	ş	46.02 \$	44.92	Ŷ	7.47
Zoonotic/Public Health Tests	r		25,027	6,887	61,688	13,001		3,379	6,200		112,803
Total Zoonotic Testing	s	\$	165,271	\$ 213,402	\$ 207,998	\$ 127,800	Ş	155,502 \$	278,512	ş	1,148,485
Industry Economic Risk Tests	1		,	3,260	15,313	12,606		3,380	3,914		35,093
Total Industry Economic Risk Testing	Ş	\$ -	1	\$ 101,015	\$ 51,632	\$ 123,917	Ş	155,548 \$	175,822	ş	607,934
Other Tests	145,654	_	Ņ	806	1,674	1,449		3,399	142		149,725
Total Other Testing	¢ 757 968	\$		\$ 24.975	¢ 5.644	¢ 11.276	Ś	156.425 Ś	6.379	~	309 292

 $\sim$ 

	TAMONING MINACIPACITY OF THE SAFETY OF			L'A A L'A		/	1800		and the second		n na management og som en men men som en
		5 0	NAGN	DEPARTMENT OF LIVESTOCK DIAGNOSTIC LABORATORY	ABO ABO	RATO	RV K				
PRE	LIMINA	RV R	EVEN	UE TO	Sos	KNA.	LVSIS BV	PRELIMINARY REVENUE TO COST ANALYSIS BY SECTION			
				FISCAL YEAR 2013	AR 2	013	na constante a service de la servic	ng tinaya kata kata kata kata kata kata kata k		SAAN KOOT AA KADAGA	
LABORATORY SECTION	Clinical Pathology Laboratory Section	Milk Laboratory Section		Clinical Microbiology - Bacteriology, Parasitology & Mycology Section Section	Serology Laboratory Section	logy atory ion	Virology Laboratory Section	Histopathology/ Pathology Laboratory Section	Molecular Diagnostics Laboratory Section	Tot:	Total Diagnostic & Milk Lab Expenses
Zoonotic Labaratory Revenues \$	I	\$ 11	118,923 \$	82,306	\$ 1	100,873 \$	14,951	\$ 37,039	\$	∽	354,172
2	,	(16	(165,271)	(213,402)	(2	(207,998)	(127,800)	(155,502)			(1, 148, 485)
Excess Costs over Revenues	t	\$ (4	(46,348) \$	(131,096)	\$ (1	(107,125) \$	(112,849)	\$ (118,463)	\$ (278,432)	5	(794,313)
Economic Impact Revenues	ï	Ŷ	ۍ ۱	20,690	Ŷ	68,637 \$	71,299	\$ 37,040	\$ 201,374	¢ \$	399,040
1	-	-	1	(101,015)	_	(51,632)	(123,917)	(155,548)	(175,822)	5)	(607,934)
Excess Costs over Revenues	ł	Ş	\$ '	(80,325)	Ş	17,005 \$	(52,618)	\$ (118,508)	\$ 25,552	\$	(208,894)
Other Testing Revenues	160,956	÷	ې ب	6,786	Ŷ	12,555 \$	22,729	\$ 37,252	Ś	۰ ب	240,278
·	(257,968)		'	(24,975)			(14,326)			1	(465,717)
Excess Costs over Revenues	(97,012)	Ş	\$ '	(18,189)	Ş	6,911 \$	8,403	\$ (119,173)	\$ (6,379)	\$ (6	(225,439)
Diagnostic Lab Fees by Section	160,956	\$ 11	118,923 \$	109,782	\$ T	182,065 \$	108,979	\$ 111,331	\$ 201,454	ې د	993,490
Cost per Section	(257,968)	(16	(165,271)	(339,392)	(2	(265,274)	(266,043)	(467,475)	(460,713)	3)	(2,222,136)
Excess Costs over Revenues	(97,012)	\$ (4	(46,348) \$	(229,610)	\$	(83,209) \$	(157,064)	\$ (356,144)	\$ (259,259)	\$ (6	(1,228,646)
Revenues above are lab fess collected from veterinarians and other users/customers of the lab.	terinarians an	d other	users/cust	omers of the I	ab.						
The department paid milk laboratory expenses from milk inspection fees assessed to producers.	from milk ins	spection	fees asses	sed to produc	ers.						

ω

DEPARTMENT OF LIVESTOCK
DIAGNOSTIC LABORATORY
PRELIMINARY REVENUE TO COST ANALYSIS BY SECTION
FISCAL YEAR 2013
This report is a preliminary analysis. The numbers for Zoonotic testing is not final. A review of the tests that encompasses Zoonotic diseases is being reviewed. The total costs for Zoonotic and the Non-Zoonotic tests are not the department's final analysis.
Expenses for personal services was calculated by obtaining a personal services expense report for all employees. Employee salaries and benefits were extracted for each of the sections listed above. 50% of the laboratory director personal service expenses were allocated to the Pathology Laboratory section and the remaining 50% was similarly allocated to all sections in the Administrative and Overhead - Personal Services. The personal service expenses that are not directly related to any of these sections were allocated based on FTE's.
The allocation for supply expenses was derived from the lab's purchase-order database and expensed to the corresponding areas reported in the PO database. Supplies for areas not reported above were allocated to the lab sections above based on FTE's.
Area of the laboratory includes shared areas such as hallways, bathrooms, breakrooms, reception and receiving areas. These areas were allocated to the sections reported above using a square footage percentage allocation.
Utilities and recharge expense were spread to all areas based on square footage of the entire lab.
Equipment and capital leases are not included in the total cost because it does not account fully for replacing or purchasing equipment. An
purchase price and amortized over five years. The equipment report maintained by the laboratory designates the section the equipment is
located. Some equipment could be used be different sections. Equipment not located in any of the sections above is allocated using total
equipment costs.
The remaining expenses were allocated by using either an FTE or the square footage allocation method.

တ

# DEPARTMENT OF LIVESTOCK DIAGNOSTIC LABORATORY COST ANALYSIS BY SECTION ANNUAL SUMMARY

ZOONOTIC TESTS PER SECTION	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 13-15 Average
Clinical Pathology Laboratory Section	-	-	-	
Milk Laboratory Section	25,027	25,108	25,531	25,22
Clinical Microbiology - Bacteriology, Parasitology & Mycology Section	6,887	6,092	6,464	6,48
Serology Laboratory Section	61,688	70,444	91,202	74,44
Virology Laboratory Section	13,001	8,804	8,210	10,00
Histopathology/ Pathology Laboratory Section	3,379	3,292	3,772	3,48
Molecular Diagnostics Laboratory Section	6,200	37	534	2,25
=	116,182	113,777	135,713	121,89
			. –	
ZOONOTIC COST PER SECTION	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 13-15 Averag
	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$
Milk Laboratory Section	165,271	181,793	181,303	176,12
Clinical Microbiology - Bacteriology, Parasitology & Mycology Section	213,402	226,459	203,969	214,61
Serology Laboratory Section	207,998	245,672	232,185	228,61
Virology Laboratory Section	127,800	133,873	135,226	132,30
Histopathology/ Pathology Laboratory Section	155,502	180,668	194,221	176,79
Molecular Diagnostics Laboratory Section	278,512	1,702	17,896	99,37
	\$ 1,148,485	\$ 970,167	\$ 964,800	\$ 1,027,81
ZOONOTIC REVENUES PER SECTION	\$ 1,148,485 FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 13-15 Averag
ZOONOTIC REVENUES PER SECTION	\$ 1,148,485 FY 2013 \$ -	FY 2014 \$ -	FY 2015	FY 13-15 Averag
ZOONOTIC REVENUES PER SECTION Clinical Pathology Laboratory Section Vilk Laboratory Section	\$ 1,148,485 FY 2013 \$ - 118,923	<b>FY 2014</b> \$- 119,539	<b>FY 2015</b> \$ - 115,250	FY 13-15 Averag \$ 117,90
ZOONOTIC REVENUES PER SECTION Clinical Pathology Laboratory Section	\$ 1,148,485 FY 2013 \$ -	FY 2014 \$ -	<b>FY 2015</b> \$ - 115,250 89,828	FY 13-15 Averag \$ 117,90 83,34
ZOONOTIC REVENUES PER SECTION Clinical Pathology Laboratory Section Wilk Laboratory Section Clinical Microbiology - Bacteriology, Parasitology & Mycology Section	\$ 1,148,485 FY 2013 \$ 118,923 82,306 100,873	<b>FY 2014</b> \$ - 119,539 77,902 113,750	FY 2015 \$- 115,250 89,828 150,859	FY 13-15 Averag \$ 117,90 83,34 121,82
ZOONOTIC REVENUES PER SECTION Clinical Pathology Laboratory Section Wilk Laboratory Section Clinical Microbiology - Bacteriology, Parasitology & Mycology Section Serology Laboratory Section	\$ 1,148,485 FY 2013 \$ 118,923 82,306	<b>FY 2014</b> \$ - 119,539 77,902	FY 2015 \$ - 115,250 89,828 150,859 17,488	FY 13-15 Averag \$ 117,90 83,34 121,82 16,39
ZOONOTIC REVENUES PER SECTION Clinical Pathology Laboratory Section Wilk Laboratory Section Clinical Microbiology - Bacteriology, Parasitology & Mycology Section Serology Laboratory Section /irology Laboratory Section	\$ 1,148,485 FY 2013 \$ 118,923 82,306 100,873 14,951	FY 2014 \$	FY 2015 \$- 115,250 89,828 150,859	FY 13-15 Averag \$ 117,90 83,34 121,82 16,39 45,81
ZOONOTIC REVENUES PER SECTION Clinical Pathology Laboratory Section Vilk Laboratory Section Clinical Microbiology - Bacteriology, Parasitology & Mycology Section Secology Laboratory Section Virology Laboratory Section Visitopathology/ Pathology Laboratory Section Violecular Diagnostics Laboratory Section	\$ 1,148,485 FY 2013 \$ 118,923 82,306 100,873 14,951 37,039	FY 2014 \$ - 119,539 77,902 113,750 16,759 47,095	FY 2015 \$- 115,250 89,828 150,859 17,488 53,302	FY 13-15 Averag \$ 117,90 83,34 121,82 16,39
ZOONOTIC REVENUES PER SECTION Clinical Pathology Laboratory Section Vilk Laboratory Section Clinical Microbiology - Bacteriology, Parasitology & Mycology Section Secology Laboratory Section Virology Laboratory Section Visitopathology/ Pathology Laboratory Section Violecular Diagnostics Laboratory Section	FY 2013           \$         -      \$	FY 2014 \$ - 119,539 77,902 113,750 16,759 47,095 837	FY 2015 \$ - 115,250 89,828 150,859 17,488 53,302 17,657	FY 13-15 Avera \$ 117,90 83,34 121,82 16,39 45,81 6,19
ZOONOTIC REVENUES PER SECTION Clinical Pathology Laboratory Section Vilk Laboratory Section Clinical Microbiology - Bacteriology, Parasitology & Mycology Section Serology Laboratory Section Virology Laboratory Section Histopathology/ Pathology Laboratory Section Violecular Diagnostics Laboratory Section = ZOONOTIC EXCESS OF COSTS OVER REVENUES	<pre>\$ 1,148,485 FY 2013 \$ 118,923 82,306 100,873 14,951 37,039 80 \$ 354,172 FY 2013</pre>	FY 2014 \$ - 119,539 77,902 113,750 16,759 47,095 837	FY 2015 \$ - 115,250 89,828 150,859 17,488 53,302 17,657	FY 13-15 Avera \$ 117,90 83,34 121,82 16,39 45,81 6,19
ZOONOTIC REVENUES PER SECTION Clinical Pathology Laboratory Section Vilk Laboratory Section Clinical Microbiology - Bacteriology, Parasitology & Mycology Section Serology Laboratory Section Virology Laboratory Section Histopathology/ Pathology Laboratory Section Violecular Diagnostics Laboratory Section = ZOONOTIC EXCESS OF COSTS OVER REVENUES	FY 2013           \$         -           \$         -           \$         -           \$         -           \$         -           \$         -           \$         -           \$         -           \$         -           \$         -           \$         -           \$         -           \$         -           \$         -           \$         -           \$         -           \$         -           \$         -	FY 2014 \$ - 119,539 77,902 113,750 16,759 47,095 837 \$ 375,882	FY 2015 \$ 115,250 89,828 150,859 17,488 53,302 17,657 \$ 444,384	FY 13-15 Avera \$ 117,90 83,34 121,82 16,39 45,81 6,19 \$ 391,47
ZOONOTIC REVENUES PER SECTION Clinical Pathology Laboratory Section Vilk Laboratory Section Clinical Microbiology - Bacteriology, Parasitology & Mycology Section Serology Laboratory Section Virology Laboratory Section Histopathology/ Pathology Laboratory Section Molecular Diagnostics Laboratory Section = ZOONOTIC EXCESS OF COSTS OVER REVENUES	<pre>\$ 1,148,485 FY 2013 \$ 118,923 82,306 100,873 14,951 37,039 80 \$ 354,172 FY 2013</pre>	FY 2014 \$ - 119,539 77,902 113,750 16,759 47,095 837 \$ 375,882 FY 2014	FY 2015 \$ 115,250 89,828 150,859 17,488 53,302 17,657 \$ 444,384 FY 2015	FY 13-15 Avera \$ 117,90 83,34 121,82 16,39 45,81 6,19 \$ 391,47 FY 13-15 Avera
ZOONOTIC REVENUES PER SECTION Clinical Pathology Laboratory Section Wilk Laboratory Section Clinical Microbiology - Bacteriology, Parasitology & Mycology Section Serology Laboratory Section Virology Laboratory Section Histopathology/ Pathology Laboratory Section Molecular Diagnostics Laboratory Section = ZOONOTIC EXCESS OF COSTS OVER REVENUES Clinical Pathology Laboratory Section	<pre>\$ 1,148,485 FY 2013 \$ 118,923 82,306 100,873 14,951 37,039 80 \$ 354,172 FY 2013 \$ -</pre>	FY 2014 \$ - 119,539 77,902 113,750 16,759 47,095 837 \$ 375,882 FY 2014 \$ -	FY 2015 \$ 115,250 89,828 150,859 17,488 53,302 17,657 \$ 444,384 FY 2015 \$ -	FY 13-15 Averaj           \$           117,90           83,34           121,82           16,39           45,81           6,19           \$ 391,47
ZOONOTIC REVENUES PER SECTION Clinical Pathology Laboratory Section Wilk Laboratory Section Serology Laboratory Section Virology Laboratory Section Virology Laboratory Section Molecular Diagnostics Laboratory Section = 200NOTIC EXCESS OF COSTS OVER REVENUES Clinical Pathology Laboratory Section Wilk Laboratory Section	FY 2013	FY 2014           \$         -           119,539         77,902           113,750         16,759           47,095         837           \$         375,882           FY 2014         \$           \$         -           (62,254)	FY 2015 \$ 115,250 89,828 150,859 17,488 53,302 17,657 \$ 444,384 FY 2015 \$ (66,053)	FY 13-15 Avera \$ 117,90 83,34 121,82 16,39 45,81 6,19 \$ 391,47 FY 13-15 Avera \$ (58,21)
	FY 2013	FY 2014           \$         -           119,539         77,902           113,750         16,759           47,095         837           \$         375,882           FY 2014         \$           \$         -           (62,254)         (148,557)	FY 2015           \$         -           115,250         89,828           150,859         17,488           53,302         17,657           \$         444,384           FY 2015           \$         -           \$         -           \$         -           \$         -           \$         -           \$         -           \$         -           \$         -           \$         -           \$         -           \$         -           \$         -	FY 13-15 Avera \$ 117,90 83,34 121,82 16,39 45,81 6,19 \$ 391,47 FY 13-15 Avera \$ (58,21 (131,26 (106,79
	FY 2013 FY 2013 \$ − − − − − − − − − − − − − − − − − − −	FY 2014           \$         -           119,539         77,902           113,750         16,759           47,095         837           \$         375,882           FY 2014         \$           \$         -           (62,254)         (148,557)           (131,922)         -	FY 2015 \$ 115,250 89,828 150,859 17,488 53,302 17,657 \$ 444,384 FY 2015 \$ (66,053) (114,141) (81,326)	FY 13-15 Avera           \$           117,90           83,34           121,82           16,35           45,81           6,19           \$           391,47           FY 13-15 Avera           \$           (58,21)           (131,26)           (106,79)           (115,90)
	FY 2013 FY 2013 \$ 118,923 82,306 100,873 14,951 37,039 80 \$ 354,172 FY 2013 \$ - (46,348) (13,096) (107,125) (112,849)	FY 2014           \$         -           119,539         77,902           113,750         16,759           47,095         837           \$         375,882           FY 2014         \$           \$         -           (62,254)         (148,557)           (131,922)         (117,114)	FY 2015           \$         -           115,250         89,828           150,859         17,488           53,302         17,657           \$         444,384           FY 2015         \$           \$         -	FY 13-15 Avera \$ 117,90 83,34 121,82 16,39 45,81 6,19 \$ 391,47 FY 13-15 Avera \$ (58,21 (131,26

health testing.

# DEPARTMENT OF LIVESTOCK DIAGNOSTIC LABORATORY COST ANALYSIS BY SECTION ANNUAL SUMMARY

τ (

INDUSTRY ECONOMIC TESTS PER SECTION		FY 2013		FY 2014		FY 2015	FY 13	3-15 Avera
Clinical Pathology Laboratory Section	]	-		-		-		-
Milk Laboratory Section		-		-		-		-
Clinical Microbiology - Bacteriology, Parasitology & Mycology Section		3,260		2,614		2,588		2,82
Serology Laboratory Section	]	15,313		13,916		13,586		14,27
Virology Laboratory Section		12,606		7,998		6,191		8,93
Histopathology/ Pathology Laboratory Section		3,380		3,292		3,772		3,48
Molecular Diagnostics Laboratory Section	D	3,914		10,766		13,646		9,44
		38,473	-	38,586	-	39,783		38,94
INDUSTRY ECONOMIC COST PER SECTION		FY 2013		FY 2014		FY 2015	FV 12	1" Avera
INDUSTRY ECONOMIC COST PER SECTION Clinical Pathology Laboratory Section	\$	FY 2015	Ś	FY 2014	Ś	FY 2015	+Y 13	8-15 Avera
Clinical Pathology Laboratory Section Milk Laboratory Section	- →	-	Ş	-	Ş	-	Ş	
Vilik Laboratory Section Clinical Microbiology - Bacteriology, Parasitology & Mycology Section		- 101,015		- 97,171		- 81,663		93,28
Clinical Microbiology - Bacteriology, Parasitology & Mycology Section Serology Laboratory Section		101,015 51,632		48,532		81,663 34,588		93,28 44,91
Serology Laboratory Section		51,632 123,917		48,532 121,617		34,588 101,971		44,9. 115,83
Histopathology/ Pathology Laboratory Section	2	155,548		180,668		101,971 194,221		115,8: 176,8:
Molecular Diagnostics Laboratory Section		175,822		495,341		457,325		376,1
WUICEDiar Diagnostica condition parameter	\$	607,934	\$	943,329	Ś	457,525	Ś	807.0
	_	FY 2013		FY 2014	ć	FY 2015	<del>FY 13</del>	-15 Avera
INDUSTRY ECONOMIC REVENUES PER SECTION Clinical Pathology Laboratory Section Milk Laboratory Section	\$	FY 2013 - -	\$	FY 2014	\$	FY 2015	<b>FY 13</b> \$	-15 Avera
Clinical Pathology Laboratory Section Milk Laboratory Section	_	FY 2013 - - 20,690	\$	FY 2014	\$	-	<b>FY 13</b> \$	
Clinical Pathology Laboratory Section	_	-	\$	-	\$	FY 2015 21,647 42,682	<b>FY 13</b> \$	20,3
Clinical Pathology Laboratory Section Milk Laboratory Section Clinical Microbiology - Bacteriology, Parasitology & Mycology Section	_	- - 20,690	\$	- - 18,625	Ş	21,647	<del>FY 13</del> \$	20,3 57,8
Clinical Pathology Laboratory Section Milk Laboratory Section Clinical Microbiology - Bacteriology, Parasitology & Mycology Section Serology Laboratory Section	_	- 20,690 68,637	\$	- 18,625 62,347	\$	21,647 42,682	FY 13 \$	20,3
Clinical Pathology Laboratory Section Milk Laboratory Section Clinical Microbiology - Bacteriology, Parasitology & Mycology Section Serology Laboratory Section Virology Laboratory Section	_	- 20,690 68,637 71,299	\$	- 18,625 62,347 47,701	\$	- 21,647 42,682 39,573	FY 13 \$	20,3 57,8 52,8 45,8
Clinical Pathology Laboratory Section Milk Laboratory Section Clinical Microbiology - Bacteriology, Parasitology & Mycology Section Serology Laboratory Section Virology Laboratory Section Histopathology/ Pathology Laboratory Section	_	20,690 68,637 71,299 37,040	\$	- 18,625 62,347 47,701 47,095	\$	21,647 42,682 39,573 53,303	FY 13 \$	20,3 57,8 52,8 45,8 192,8
Clinical Pathology Laboratory Section Milk Laboratory Section Clinical Microbiology - Bacteriology, Parasitology & Mycology Section Serology Laboratory Section Virology Laboratory Section Histopathology/ Pathology Laboratory Section	\$	20,690 68,637 71,299 37,040 201,374	\$	18,625 62,347 47,701 47,095 187,047	\$	21,647 42,682 39,573 53,303 189,978	\$	20,3 57,8 52,8 45,8 192,8 369,6
Clinical Pathology Laboratory Section Milk Laboratory Section Clinical Microbiology - Bacteriology, Parasitology & Mycology Section Serology Laboratory Section Virology Laboratory Section Histopathology/ Pathology Laboratory Section Molecular Diagnostics Laboratory Section	\$	20,690 68,637 71,299 37,040 201,374 399,040	\$	18,625 62,347 47,701 47,095 187,047 362,815	\$	21,647 42,682 39,573 53,303 189,978 347,183	\$	20,3 57,8 52,8 45,8 192,8
Clinical Pathology Laboratory Section Milk Laboratory Section Clinical Microbiology - Bacteriology, Parasitology & Mycology Section Serology Laboratory Section Virology Laboratory Section Histopathology/ Pathology Laboratory Section Molecular Diagnostics Laboratory Section ECONOMIC IMPACT TEST EXCESS OF COSTS OVER REVENUES	\$ 	20,690 68,637 71,299 37,040 201,374 399,040		18,625 62,347 47,701 47,095 187,047 362,815		21,647 42,682 39,573 53,303 189,978 347,183	\$ \$ FY 13	20,3 57,8 52,8 45,8 192,8 369,6
Clinical Pathology Laboratory Section Milk Laboratory Section Clinical Microbiology - Bacteriology, Parasitology & Mycology Section Serology Laboratory Section Virology Laboratory Section Molecular Diagnostics Laboratory Section ECONOMIC IMPACT TEST EXCESS OF COSTS OVER REVENUES Clinical Pathology Laboratory Section	\$ 	20,690 68,637 71,299 37,040 201,374 399,040 FY 2013		18,625 62,347 47,701 47,095 187,047 362,815		21,647 42,682 39,573 53,303 189,978 347,183	\$ \$ FY 13	20,3 57,8 52,8 45,8 192,8 369,6
Clinical Pathology Laboratory Section Milk Laboratory Section Clinical Microbiology - Bacteriology, Parasitology & Mycology Section Serology Laboratory Section Virology Laboratory Section Mistopathology/ Pathology Laboratory Section Molecular Diagnostics Laboratory Section ECONOMIC IMPACT TEST EXCESS OF COSTS OVER REVENUES Clinical Pathology Laboratory Section Milk Laboratory Section Clinical Microbiology - Bacteriology, Parasitology & Mycology Section Serology Laboratory Section	\$ 	20,690 68,637 71,299 37,040 201,374 399,040 FY 2013		- 18,625 62,347 47,701 47,095 187,047 362,815 FY 2014		21,647 42,682 39,573 53,303 189,978 347,183 FY 2015	\$ \$ FY 13	20,3 57,8 52,8 45,8 192,8 369,6 3-15 Avera
Clinical Pathology Laboratory Section Milk Laboratory Section Clinical Microbiology - Bacteriology, Parasitology & Mycology Section Serology Laboratory Section Virology Laboratory Section Mistopathology/ Pathology Laboratory Section Molecular Diagnostics Laboratory Section ECONOMIC IMPACT TEST EXCESS OF COSTS OVER REVENUES Clinical Pathology Laboratory Section Milk Laboratory Section Clinical Microbiology - Bacteriology, Parasitology & Mycology Section Serology Laboratory Section Virology Laboratory Section	\$ 	20,690 68,637 71,299 37,040 201,374 399,040 FY 2013		- 18,625 62,347 47,701 47,095 187,047 362,815 FY 2014		- 21,647 42,682 39,573 53,303 189,978 347,183 FY 2015	\$ \$ FY 13	20,3 57,8 52,8 45,8 192,8 369,6 3-15 Avera
Clinical Pathology Laboratory Section Milk Laboratory Section Clinical Microbiology - Bacteriology, Parasitology & Mycology Section Serology Laboratory Section Wirology Laboratory Section Molecular Diagnostics Laboratory Section ECONOMIC IMPACT TEST EXCESS OF COSTS OVER REVENUES Clinical Pathology Laboratory Section Milk Laboratory Section Clinical Microbiology - Bacteriology, Parasitology & Mycology Section Serology Laboratory Section Virology Laboratory Section Histopathology/ Pathology Laboratory Section	 	20,690 68,637 71,299 37,040 201,374 399,040 FY 2013 FY 2013 (80,325) 17,005 (52,618) (118,508)		- 18,625 62,347 47,701 47,095 <u>187,047</u> <u>362,815</u> FY 2014 - (78,546) 13,815		- 21,647 42,682 39,573 53,303 189,978 347,183 FY 2015 - (60,016) 8,094	\$ \$ FY 13	20,3 57,8 52,8 192,8 369,6 3-15 Avera (72,9 12,9
Clinical Pathology Laboratory Section Milk Laboratory Section Clinical Microbiology - Bacteriology, Parasitology & Mycology Section Serology Laboratory Section Virology Laboratory Section Mistopathology/ Pathology Laboratory Section Molecular Diagnostics Laboratory Section ECONOMIC IMPACT TEST EXCESS OF COSTS OVER REVENUES Clinical Pathology Laboratory Section Milk Laboratory Section Clinical Microbiology - Bacteriology, Parasitology & Mycology Section Serology Laboratory Section Virology Laboratory Section	 	- 20,690 68,637 71,299 37,040 201,374 399,040 FY 2013 - (80,325) 17,005 (52,618)		- 18,625 62,347 47,701 47,095 187,047 362,815 FY 2014 - (78,546) 13,815 (73,916)		21,647 42,682 39,573 53,303 189,978 347,183 FY 2015	\$ \$ FY 13	20,3 57,8 52,8 45,8 3192,8 369,6 3-15 Avera (72,9 12,9 (62,9

This table summarizes the preliminary lab cost reports and the revenues for FY 2013 through FY 2015 for tests that could have a potential economic impact on the livestock industry and the State of Montana.

# DEPARTMENT OF LIVESTOCK DIAGNOSTIC LABORATORY COST ANALYSIS BY SECTION ANNUAL SUMMARY

Г

OTHER TEST PER SECTION		FY 2013		FY 2014		FY 2015	FY 13	8-15 Avera
linical Pathology Laboratory Section		145,654		120,615		94,151		120,14
Ailk Laboratory Section		-		-		-		-
linical Microbiology - Bacteriology, Parasitology & Mycology Section		806		656		675		7
erology Laboratory Section		1,674		857		1,864		1,4
firology Laboratory Section		1,449		960		967		1,1
listopathology/ Pathology Laboratory Section		3,399		2,525		1,784		2,5
Anticology Anticology Section		142		-		-		
		153,124		125,613		99,441		126,0
OTHER TEST COSTS PER SECTION		FY 2013		FY 2014		FY 2015		-15 Aver
linical Pathology Laboratory Section	\$	257,968	\$	280,389	\$	284,874	\$	274,4
Nilk Laboratory Section		-		-				
linical Microbiology - Bacteriology, Parasitology & Mycology Section		24,975		24,386		21,299		23,5
erology Laboratory Section	20	5,644		2,988		4,745		4,4
irology Laboratory Section		14,326		14,598		15,928		14,9
istopathology/ Pathology Laboratory Section		156,425		138,575		91,859		128,9
Iolecular Diagnostics Laboratory Section	I	6,379	Ś	-		-		2,1
	\$	465,717			\$	418,705	Ś	448.4
			<u> </u>	460,936	<u> </u>	410,705		440,4
			<u> </u>		<u></u>	<u></u>		
		FY 2013		FY 2014		FY 2015	FY 13	8-15 Aver
linical Pathology Laboratory Section			\$		\$	<u></u>		8-15 Aver
linical Pathology Laboratory Section filk Laboratory Section		FY 2013 160,956		<b>FY 2014</b> 119,262		<b>FY 2015</b> 111,803	FY 13	<b>-15 Aver</b> 130,6
linical Pathology Laboratory Section 11lk Laboratory Section linical Microbiology - Bacteriology, Parasitology & Mycology Section		FY 2013 160,956 6,786		FY 2014 119,262 - 5,896		FY 2015 111,803 6,471	FY 13	<b>3-15 Aver</b> 130,6
linical Pathology Laboratory Section 1ilk Laboratory Section linical Microbiology - Bacteriology, Parasitology & Mycology Section erology Laboratory Section		FY 2013 160,956 6,786 12,555		FY 2014 119,262 - 5,896 6,427		FY 2015 111,803 - 6,471 15,401	FY 13	<b>3-15 Aver</b> 130,6 6,3 11,4
linical Pathology Laboratory Section 11lk Laboratory Section linical Microbiology - Bacteriology, Parasitology & Mycology Section erology Laboratory Section irology Laboratory Section		FY 2013 160,956 6,786 12,555 22,729		FY 2014 119,262 5,896 6,427 20,938		FY 2015 111,803 - 6,471 15,401 7,259	FY 13	6,3 130,6 6,3 11,4 16,9
linical Pathology Laboratory Section Illk Laboratory Section linical Microbiology - Bacteriology, Parasitology & Mycology Section erology Laboratory Section irology Laboratory Section istopathology/ Pathology Laboratory Section		FY 2013 160,956 6,786 12,555		FY 2014 119,262 - 5,896 6,427		FY 2015 111,803 - 6,471 15,401	FY 13	6,3 130,6 6,3 11,4 16,9
linical Pathology Laboratory Section Illk Laboratory Section linical Microbiology - Bacteriology, Parasitology & Mycology Section erology Laboratory Section irology Laboratory Section istopathology/ Pathology Laboratory Section		FY 2013 160,956 6,786 12,555 22,729		FY 2014 119,262 5,896 6,427 20,938		FY 2015 111,803 - 6,471 15,401 7,259	FY 13	<b>5-15 Aver</b> 130,6 6,3 11,4 16,9 32,8
linical Pathology Laboratory Section filk Laboratory Section linical Microbiology - Bacteriology, Parasitology & Mycology Section erology Laboratory Section irology Laboratory Section istopathology/ Pathology Laboratory Section	\$	FY 2013 160,956 6,786 12,555 22,729 37,252	\$	FY 2014 119,262 5,896 6,427 20,938 36,122	\$	FY 2015 111,803 - 6,471 15,401 7,259 25,210 -	FY 13 \$	<b>-15 Aver</b> 130,6 6,3 11,4 16,9 32,8
inical Pathology Laboratory Section lilk Laboratory Section inical Microbiology - Bacteriology, Parasitology & Mycology Section arology Laboratory Section irology Laboratory Section istopathology/ Pathology Laboratory Section lolecular Diagnostics Laboratory Section	\$	FY 2013 160,956 6,786 12,555 22,729 37,252	\$	FY 2014 119,262 5,896 6,427 20,938 36,122	\$	FY 2015 111,803 - 6,471 15,401 7,259 25,210 -	FY 13 \$	3-15 Aver 130,6 6,5 11,4 16,5 32,8 198,5
linical Pathology Laboratory Section filk Laboratory Section linical Microbiology - Bacteriology, Parasitology & Mycology Section erology Laboratory Section istopathology/ Pathology Laboratory Section lolecular Diagnostics Laboratory Section THER TESTS - EXCESS OF COSTS OVER REVENUES linical Pathology Laboratory Section	\$	FY 2013 160,956 6,786 12,555 22,729 37,252 240,278	\$	FY 2014 119,262 5,896 6,427 20,938 36,122 - 188,645	\$	FY 2015 111,803 - 6,471 15,401 7,259 25,210 - 166,144	FY 13 \$	<b>3-15 Aver</b> 130,¢ 6,Ξ 11,4 16,5 32,8 198,Ξ <b>3-15 Aver</b>
linical Pathology Laboratory Section Tilk Laboratory Section linical Microbiology - Bacteriology, Parasitology & Mycology Section erology Laboratory Section Irology Laboratory Section Istopathology/ Pathology Laboratory Section Tolecular Diagnostics Laboratory Section ITHER TESTS - EXCESS OF COSTS OVER REVENUES linical Pathology Laboratory Section Tilk Laboratory Section	\$	FY 2013 160,956 12,555 22,729 37,252 240,278 FY 2013	\$	FY 2014 119,262 5,896 6,427 20,938 36,122 188,645 FY 2014	\$	FY 2015 111,803 - 6,471 15,401 7,259 25,210 - 166,144 FY 2015	FY 13 \$ FY 13	3-15 Aver 130,6 6,3 11,4 16,9 32,8 198,3 198,3 (198,3 198,3 (143,7)
linical Pathology Laboratory Section Tilk Laboratory Section linical Microbiology - Bacteriology, Parasitology & Mycology Section erology Laboratory Section istopathology/ Pathology Laboratory Section Tolecular Diagnostics Laboratory Section THER TESTS - EXCESS OF COSTS OVER REVENUES linical Pathology Laboratory Section Tilk Laboratory Section linical Microbiology - Bacteriology, Parasitology & Mycology Section	\$	FY 2013 160,956 6,786 12,555 22,729 37,252 240,278 FY 2013 (97,012)	\$	FY 2014 119,262 5,896 6,427 20,938 36,122 188,645 FY 2014 (161,127)	\$	FY 2015 111,803 - 6,471 15,401 7,259 25,210 - 166,144 FY 2015 (173,071)	FY 13 \$ FY 13	<ul> <li>3-15 Aver 130,6</li> <li>6,3</li> <li>11,4</li> <li>16,5</li> <li>32,8</li> <li>198,3</li> <li>198,3</li> <li>3-15 Aver (143,7</li> <li>(17,1</li> </ul>
linical Pathology Laboratory Section Tilk Laboratory Section linical Microbiology - Bacteriology, Parasitology & Mycology Section erology Laboratory Section irology Laboratory Section Istopathology/ Pathology Laboratory Section Tolecular Diagnostics Laboratory Section THER TESTS - EXCESS OF COSTS OVER REVENUES linical Pathology Laboratory Section Tilk Laboratory Section Tilk Laboratory Section Inical Microbiology - Bacteriology, Parasitology & Mycology Section erology Laboratory Section	\$	FY 2013 160,956 12,555 22,729 37,252 240,278 FY 2013 (97,012) (18,189)	\$	FY 2014 119,262 - 5,896 6,427 20,938 36,122 - 188,645 FY 2014 (161,127) - (18,490)	\$	FY 2015 111,803 - 6,471 15,401 7,259 25,210 - 166,144 FY 2015 (173,071) - (14,828)	FY 13 \$ FY 13	<b>3-15 Aver</b> 130,¢ 6,5 11,4 16,5 32,8 198,5 <b>3-15 Aver</b> (143,7 (17,1 7,0
linical Pathology Laboratory Section filk Laboratory Section linical Microbiology - Bacteriology, Parasitology & Mycology Section erology Laboratory Section istopathology/ Pathology Laboratory Section folecular Diagnostics Laboratory Section ITHER TESTS - EXCESS OF COSTS OVER REVENUES linical Pathology Laboratory Section filk Laboratory Section linical Microbiology - Bacteriology, Parasitology & Mycology Section erology Laboratory Section linical Microbiology - Bacteriology, Parasitology & Mycology Section erology Laboratory Section	\$	FY 2013 160,956 12,555 22,729 37,252 240,278 FY 2013 (97,012) (18,189) 6,911 8,403	\$	FY 2014 119,262 5,896 6,427 20,938 36,122 - 188,645 FY 2014 (161,127) (18,490) 3,439 6,340	\$	FY 2015 111,803 - 6,471 15,401 7,259 25,210 - 166,144 FY 2015 (173,071) - (14,828) 10,656 (8,669)	FY 13 \$ FY 13	3-15 Aver 130,6 6,3 11,4 16,5 32,8 198,3 198,3 3-15 Aver (143,7 (17,1) 7,0 2,0
ATHER TEST - REVENUES PER SECTION linical Pathology Laboratory Section Mik Laboratory Section linical Microbiology - Bacteriology, Parasitology & Mycology Section erology Laboratory Section listopathology/ Pathology Laboratory Section Molecular Diagnostics Laboratory Section MIHER TESTS - EXCESS OF COSTS OVER REVENUES linical Pathology Laboratory Section Mik Laboratory Section Mik Laboratory Section Inical Microbiology - Bacteriology, Parasitology & Mycology Section erology Laboratory Section listopathology/ Pathology Laboratory Section istopathology/ Pathology Laboratory Section Micology Laboratory Section listopathology/ Pathology Laboratory Section Micology Laboratory Section Micolo	\$	FY 2013 160,956 12,555 22,729 37,252 240,278 FY 2013 (97,012) (18,189) 6,911	\$	FY 2014 119,262 - 5,896 6,427 20,938 36,122 - 188,645 FY 2014 (161,127) - (18,490) 3,439	\$	FY 2015 111,803 - 6,471 15,401 7,259 25,210 - 166,144 FY 2015 (173,071) - (14,828) 10,656	FY 13 \$ FY 13	<b>3-15 Aver</b> 130,6 6,3 11,4 16,9 32,8 <b>198,3</b> <b>3-15 Aver</b> (143,7 (17,1 7,0 2,0 (96,0 (2,1)

This table summarizes the preliminary lab cost reports and the revenues for FY 2013 through FY 2015 for tests that may not have a human health risk or economic impact to the livestock industry and the State of Montana.



Agenda Request Form

[							
From: Dan Turcotte	Division/	Program:	Milk & E	gg	Meeting Date:	2016	
Agenda Item: General Update					December 15,	2010	
Background Info:							
Recommendation:							
Time needed: 10 min	Attachments:	Yes	No	Board	vote required?	Yes	No
					Chapter 32.9 of A		
Background Info: The Milk & Egg B	~ ` ` /		-		•		SDA
in the Milk for Manufacturing Purpo							
This change was originally propose							
include copies of the MMP as well as					0 0		
For clarity, the State Laws reference					•	-	
Recommendation:			1	1			1
Time needed: 20 min.	Attachments:	<mark>Yes</mark>	No	Board	vote required	<b>Yes</b>	No
Agenda Item:							
Background Info:							
Recommendation:							
Time needed:	Attachments:	Yes	No	Poard	voto roquirod.	Yes	No
	Attachments:	res	NO	Боаги	vote required:	res	NO
Agenda Item:							
Background Info:							
Recommendation:							
Time needed:	Attachments:	Yes	No	Poard	vote required:	Yes	No
Time needed:	Attachinents:	Tes	NO	Duaru	vote required:	res	NO
Agenda Item:							
Background Info:							
Recommendation:							
Time needed:	Attachments:	Yes	No	Board	vote required:	Yes	No
rime necucu.	machinento.	103			volu requireu.	103	

Montana ARM'S	USDA Milk for Manufacturing Purposes
	Subpart A
	Sample State Enabling Act
32.9.101	Subpart B
Definitions	B1
Many of the definitions are found in 81-22-101.	Definitions
81-22-101.	Expands definition of milk to include sheep, goats, and water buffalo. Thereafter refers to lactating
	animals.
32.9.101	B1
(b) Probational milk may be	(o) Probational milk may be accepted by plant for
accepted by plants for "specific time	not over 10 days.
periods".	
32.9.201	Subpart C
Milk Quality Requirements	Quality requirements for Milk for Manufacturing
	Purposes
32.9.202 -203	С3
Sediment Content Classification	(a) Sediment content classification alive and well.
repealed.	(b) Frequency of test. At least once each month at
Frequency repealed.	irregular intervals.
32.9.204	C 4
Bacterial Testing (SPC)	Bacterial estimate If >500,000/ml Standard Plate
Addressed in 32.9.206	Count (SPC)
	(1) The producer shall be notified with a warning
	of the excessive bacterial estimate.
	(2) Whenever two of the last four consecutive
	bacterial estimates exceed 500,000 per ml.,
	the appropriate regulatory authority shall be
	notified and a written warning notice given to the
	Producer. The notice shall be in effect so long as
	two of the last four consecutive samples exceed
	500,000 per ml.
32.9.206	C 5
Reject milk if it has been undergrade	Reject milk If tests positive for drug residue.
(>500,000 /ml SPC) for 4 weeks.	Reject milk it tests positive for drug residue.
Drug residue addressed in 32.9.209.	
	C7
Addressed in 32.9.206 – 209 and	Excluded milk
32.9.301	(a) Initial shipment is No. 3 for sediment content.
	(c) 3 out of 5 samples > $500,000/ml$ SPC.
	(d) 3 out of 5 samples > 750,000/ml (1x10 <sup>6</sup> goat)
	Somatic Cell Count (SCC).

# COMPARISON OF MT ARMS USDA MILK FOR MANUFACTURING PUR DOCUMENT

32.9.208C 11Abnormal milk due to mastitisSomatic Cell Count (SCC)Sampling Frequency 2x/6 monthsSampling Frequency 4X/6months
Sampling Frequency 2x/6 months Sampling Frequency 4X/6months
(3) 1.5 x 10 <sup>6</sup> SCC limit (e) 750,000 (1x10 <sup>6</sup> goat) SCC limit
(b)If second consecutive sample 2 out of 4 resample
high, inspect and resample in 3 out of 5 excluded from market
specified time frame. Equivalent to PMO.
(c) If third sample high the dept.
must request the producer seek
expert advice.
32.9.209 C 12
Other abnormal milk Drug residue level
(1) Antibiotics testing freq. 4x/6mo. (1) Sampling
If antibiotic positive, producer (i) All milk tested for beta lactam antibiotics prior
doesn't ship until follow up negative. to processing.
Any antibiotic positive milk excluded from market
Producer doesn't ship until follow up negative.
(ii) Participate in FDA's random drug sampling
program (similar to PMO).
32.9.301 C 14
Dairy Animal Health Pesticides and Herbicides
(4) Milk from cows known to be Test results shall not exceed established FDA
infected with mastitis or milk limits.
containing pesticides or other
chemical residues in excess of the
established limits may not be sold or
offered for sale for human food.
No Added water test required C 15
Added water
Milk samples from each producer should be tested
for added water at a frequency which the
regulatory agency determines is adequate to
prevent the addition of water to the milk.
Sub Chapter 3 Subpart D
Requirements for Dairies Producing Farm Requirements for Milk for Manufacturing
Milk for Manufacturing Purposes
32.9.301 D 1
Imported goats and sheep (b) The goats or sheep shall be located in States
addressed in Animal Health ARM. meeting the current USDA Uniform Methods and
Resident herds/flocks not addressed. Rules and for Bovine Tuberculosis Eradication or
an Accredited Free Goat Herd.

PURPOSES

22.09.202	
32.98.303	
(5) Milk must be cooled	(a) Milk in cans shall be cooled immediately after
immediately after milking to 45° F or	milking to 50° F or lower <sup>2</sup> unless delivered to plant
lower unless delivered to the plant	within 2 hours of milking.
within 2 hours of milking.	(b) Milk in farm bulk tanks cooled to 40° F or lower
	within 2 hours of milking and maintained at 50° F
	or lower.
	(c) Milk in plastic bags shall be cooled to 40° F or
	lower within two hours of milking.
	Sheep milk shall be cooled to 45° F or lower within
	2 hours of milking.
	Cooling water used in bulk tanks in which bags of
	sheep milk are cooled shall be chlorinated.
	If milk is cooled by pouring into plastic bags and
	then floating the bags of milk in cooling water, the
	process must preclude contamination of the milk
	by the water. All water must be safe and of sanitary quality in accordance to Sec D7.
	(d) Bags used to store frozen sheep milk shall be
	constructed of plastic that is listed under the
	NCIMS Certified Manufacturers of Single-Service
	Containers and Related Products.
	(e) Bags may be up to 5 gallons in size. Each bag
	shall be numbered, dated, and identified with a
	patron name or number.
	(f) Frozen Milk should remain frozen at 0°F or less
	for a period not to exceed 12 months.
	<sup>2</sup> Until 3 years after adoption, the temp. req. for
	milk in cans will be 60°F.
32.9.422	Subpart E
(2) Employee health	1.6 Personnel Health
No exam or certificate required.	Employees who come into contact with milk,
	containers or equipment must have a medical ad
	physical exam by a registered doctor or local
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	health dept. at time of employment. Following
	illness from communicable disease an employee
	must have a certificate from attending Dr. to
	establish proof of full recovery. The certificate is
	to be kept on file at the plant.
32.9.424	E 1.8
(1) Holding and Processing of Milk	Raw Product Storage
Commingled Milk	Commingled Milk
1.5 x 10 <sup>6</sup> /ml SPC limit	1 x 10 <sup>6</sup> /ml SPC limit
Procedures addressed in	Procedures if plant exceeds bacterial limit similar
81-22-401 and -404.	to PMO.

#### COMPARISON OF MT ARMS J USDA MILK FOR MANUFACTURING PUR DOCUMENT

**E**S

No mention of heat treated cream.	E 1.9
PMO treats as raw milk.	Heat treated cream
	Defines and sets limit of 20,000/ml SPC.
32.9.602	E 4.2.3
(2) Equipment and utensils.	Cheese Vats
Vats should be equipped with	No mention of vat cover.
removable cloth cover or paper	
cover.	
32.9.103-105	Subpart F
Licensing of Persons Engaged in	Administrative Procedures
Production of Milk for	F1 Farm Certification
Manufacturing Purposes	Different language i.e. certification vs licensing.
	Same requirements.

#### **Referenced Montana Laws:**

81-22-101. Definitions. For the purpose of this chapter, the following definitions are adopted:

(1) "Agent" means a person who is authorized by another person to act for that other person in dealing with a third person.

(2) "Butter" is the clean, nonrancid product made by gathering the fat of fresh ripened milk or cream into a mass that also contains a small portion of the other milk constituents, with or without salt, and must contain not less than 80% of milk fat. No tolerance for deficiency in milk fat is permitted. Butter may also contain added coloring matter.

(3) "Cheese" is the sound, solid, and ripened product made from milk or cream by coagulating the casein with rennet or lactic acid, with or without ripening ferments and seasoning, and must contain in the water-free substance not less than 50% of milk fat and not more than 39% of moisture. Cheese may also contain added coloring matter.

(4) "C.I.P." means the procedure by which sanitary pipelines or pieces of dairy equipment are mechanically cleaned in place by circulation when this procedure meets the 3-A accepted practices for permanently installed sanitary product-pipelines and cleaning systems.

(5) "Code of Federal Regulations" refers especially but is not limited to Title 21, which contains the definitions and standards of identity for products as established by the food and drug administration, United States department of health and human services.

(6) "Cream" means the milk fat that rises to the surface when milk is allowed to stand or that is separated from milk by centrifugal force when sold, used, or intended for use in a manufactured product.

(7) "Creamery" means a place where butter is made for commercial purposes.

(8) "Culture" means the harmless lactic acid fermenting bacteria that are added to milk or cream to make manufactured dairy products like cultured buttermilk, cheese, cottage cheese, yogurt, sour cream, cream cheese, butter, and similar products.

(9) "Dairy" or "dairy farm" means a place where one or more cows or goats are kept, a part or all of the milk or cream from which is used for manufacturing purposes.

PURPOSES

(10) The term "department", unless otherwise indicated, means the department of livestock provided for in Title 2, chapter 15, part 31.

(11) "Directly acidified" and similar terms mean the process of adding a food grade acid to milk or cream instead of or in addition to the adding of culture.

(12) "Filled dairy products" means milk, cream, skimmed milk, or any combination of these, whether or not condensed, evaporated, concentrated, frozen, powdered, dried, or desiccated, or any food product made or manufactured from them, to which has been added or which has been blended or compounded with fat or oil other than milk fat so that the resulting product is in imitation or semblance of a dairy product, including milk, cream, sour cream, skimmed milk, ice cream, low-fat ice cream, whipped cream, flavored milk or skim milk yogurt, dried or powdered milk, cheese, cream, cream cheese, cottage cheese, creamed cottage cheese, ice cream mix, low-fat ice cream mix, sherbet, condensed milk, evaporated milk, or concentrated milk.

(13) "French ice cream", "French custard ice cream", and similar frozen products, except sherbets and water ices, are varieties of ice cream.

(14) "Grading" means the examination of milk, cream, or products by sight, odor, taste, or laboratory analysis, the results of which determine a grade designating their quality.

(15) "Ice cream" is a frozen product made with pure, sweet milk, cream, skim milk, evaporated or condensed milk, evaporated or condensed skim milk, dry milk, dry skim milk, pure milk fat, wholesome sweet butter, or any combination of these products, with or without sweetening, or clean wholesome eggs or egg products, with or without the use of harmless flavoring and coloring. Ice cream must contain not less than 10% of milk fat, not less than 33% total solids, and may or may not contain pure and harmless edible stabilizer. Ice cream may contain not to exceed 1% gelatin. A frozen milk or milk product may not be manufactured or sold unless it contains at least 10% butterfat, excepting sherbets, ices, and other exceptions under this section. All ice cream must be manufactured from pasteurized ice cream mix.

(16) (a) "Ice cream mix" is a pasteurized, unfrozen product used in the manufacture of ice cream and must comply with the requirements for ice cream.

(b) "Mix" includes the liquid, unfrozen product from which those frozen products listed under subsections (21)(a)(iii) through (21)(a)(xii) are made.

(17) "Intrastate commerce" means commerce within this state under the jurisdiction of the state and includes the operation of a business or service establishment.

(18) "Manufactured dairy product" means an item enumerated in subsection (21) or any other dairy product made by incorporating milk or cream or converting milk or cream into a different state of appearance or quality. For purposes of reporting production and licensing, manufactured dairy product includes but is not limited to:

(a) ice cream or its mix;

**COMPARISON OF** 

(b) French ice cream, custard ice cream, French custard ice cream, their low-fat counterparts, or their mixes;

(c) sherbets of all kinds or their mixes;

(d) animal or vegetable fat frozen desserts or their mixes;

(e) frozen confections or their mixes when made in a manufactured dairy products plant;

5

.53

(f) water ices or their mixes;

(g) frozen dessert sandwiches, bars, cones, and similar novelties;

(h) frozen dessert made of nondairy origins and other products made in the semblance or imitation of dairy products or their mixes when made in a manufactured dairy products plant;

(i) ice milk or its mix;

(j) cheese of all kinds, including cottage cheese, cheese curd, cheese dressing, and cream cheese, either cultured or directly acidified;

(k) sour cream when cultured or directly acidified;

(I) eggnog, low-fat eggnog, eggnog-flavored milk, and similar flavored products;

(m) buttermilk, cultured or from churned butter or directly acidified;

(n) butter;

(o) yogurt, low-fat yogurt, or flavored yogurt, either cultured or directly acidified or frozen.

(19) "Manufactured dairy products plant" or "factory" means a place where milk or cream is collected and converted into a product or into a different state of appearance or quality or that manufactures those products listed in subsection (21). If only products of semblance or imitation of dairy products are made, the plant is not considered a manufactured dairy products plant.

(20) "Milk" means the lacteal secretion, practically free from colostrum, obtained by the milking of one or more healthy cows located in modified accredited areas and modified certified areas or from cows in herds fully accredited as tuberculosis-free by the United States department of agriculture or in the process of being accredited, when the milk or cream is sold for use in, intended for use in, or used in a manufactured dairy product.

(21) (a) "Milk" and "cream" mean milk and cream sold, used, or intended for manufacturing purposes or for conversion into products of a form other than the form in which originally produced or products commonly known as but not limited to:

(i) butter;

(ii) cheese, including cottage cheese, low-fat cottage cheese, cheese curd, and cream cheese, which are either cultured or directly acidified, and cheese dressings;

(iii) ice cream or its mix;

(iv) frozen dessert or its mix;

(v) sherbets of all kinds or their mixes;

(vi) frozen ice cream bars, sandwiches, cones, and similar novelties;

(vii) frozen desserts or products made in the semblance or imitation of frozen dessert;

(viii) frozen confections or their mixes;

(ix) water ices or their mixes;

(x) ice milk or its mix;

(xi) French ice cream, French custard, or their mixes;

(xii) frozen custard or its mix and frozen yogurt;

(xiii) yogurt, flavored yogurt, and low-fat yogurt;

(xiv) sour cream, either cultured or directly acidified;

(xv) cream cheese, either cultured or directly acidified;

(xvi) buttermilk, either cultured, from churned butter, or directly acidified;

**G PURPOSES** 

(xvii) eggnog, low-fat eggnog, eggnog-flavored milk, whipped cream, flavored toppings, and similar flavored products;

(xviii) dry or powdered milk; and

(xix) condensed milk products.

(b) The items specified in subsection (21)(a) must conform to the standards of identity set forth in the Code of Federal Regulations. If standards of identity are not set forth in the code, then the standards adopted by the department prevail. The labeling of manufactured dairy products must be in accordance with the Montana Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act.

(22) "Milk or cream station" means a place other than a creamery where deliveries of milk or cream are weighed, graded, sampled, tested, or collected for purchase.

(23) "Mislabeled", "unwholesome", "food additives", "optional ingredients", "impure", "misbranded", "contaminated", "adulterated", "perishable", "hazardous", "unfit", "spoiled", "damaged", and similar terms, when applied to a manufactured dairy product or product made in semblance or in imitation of a manufactured dairy product, are as defined in Title 50, chapter 31.

(24) "Official test" means test procedures outlined in the sources referred to under <u>81-22-301</u> concerning samples, methods, and rules of evidence.

(25) "Pasteurization", "pasteurizing", and similar terms mean the process of heating every particle of milk or milk product to at least 145 degrees F and holding it continuously at or above this temperature for at least 30 minutes or to at least 161 degrees F and holding it continuously at or above this temperature for at least 15 seconds in equipment that is properly operated and approved by the department. Milk products that have a higher fat content than milk or contain added sweeteners must be heated to at least 155 degrees F and held continuously at or above this temperature for at least 30 minutes, or to at least 175 degrees F and held continuously at or above this temperature for at least 25 seconds. This definition does not bar any other pasteurization process that has been recognized by the United States public health service to be equally effective and that is approved by the department.

(26) "Person" means an individual, firm, partnership, corporation, cooperative, or other business unit or trade device.

(27) "Producer" means the person who exercises control over the production of milk or cream delivered to a milk or cream receiving station or manufactured dairy products plant or who receives payment for milk or cream used in manufacturing.

(28) "Safe temperature" means 45 degrees F or less unless the product is frozen, in which case the temperature must be at or below 0 degrees F.

(29) "Testing", "test", "tested", and similar words mean the examination of milk, cream, or manufactured dairy products by sight, odor, taste, or biological or chemical laboratory analysis to determine their quality, wholesomeness, or composition.

(30) "Water ice" means a frozen product containing but not limited to the following ingredients: water, sugar, flavoring, coloring, stabilizers, and other ingredients allowed by the Code of Federal Regulations as optional ingredients.

7

ß

**81-22-401. Grading of milk -- condemnation of unsafe milk.** Milk or cream purchased for use in milk plants or for use in a manufactured dairy product in this state shall be graded by licensed graders, weighers, and samplers. It is unlawful to sell, purchase, or use milk or cream for a food purpose if the milk or cream is found to be musty, adulterated, rancid, dirty, with marked undesirable odors or flavors, or to contain foreign objects, fragments, substances, or excessive bacteria. The milk or cream grader or the department shall condemn the milk or cream and may add to the milk or cream a nontoxic coloring substance or rennet and return it to or leave it with the producer with an explanation of the cause for rejection.

**81-22-404.** Removal or destruction of products in case of potential health hazards. (1) When epidemiological evidence indicates or the likelihood exists that a dairy or manufactured dairy products plant is producing, manufacturing, storing, handling, or offering for sale milk or a manufactured dairy product that is adulterated or that may be detrimental to the health or safety of the consumer, the department may request the department of public health and human services to remove the product from the market or to hold, dispose of, destroy, or treat the product so that it no longer constitutes a potential health hazard.

(2) It is unlawful for a person to violate an order that requires the product's removal from the market or its retention, disposal, destruction, or treatment. Violation is punishable as a misdemeanor, and each violation is subject to a fine of not less than \$25 or more than \$250 or to imprisonment in the county jail for not more than 30 days, or both fine and imprisonment.



Board of Livestock Meeting

Agenda Request Form

From: George Edwards	Division/	Program:	LLB		Meeting Date: 1	2/15/10	6	
Agenda Item: General Update	2							
Background Info: Attending Montar Stockgrowers, Golden Triangle She	Background Info: Attending Montana Farm Bureau, Montana Woolgrowers, Montana Cattlemen, Montana Stockgrowers, Golden Triangle Sheep, NCDE Grizzly Bear and IGBC Grizzly Bear meetings. Will provide updates on any information related to the Livestock Loss Board.							
Recommendation:								
Time needed: 10-15 Minutes	Attachments:		No	Board	vote required?	]	No	
<u>Agenda Item:</u>								
Background Info:								
Recommendation:	1							
Time needed:	Attachments:	Yes	No	Board	vote required	Yes	No	
<u>Agenda Item:</u> Background Info:								
Recommendation:								
Time needed:	Attachments:	Yes	No	Board	vote required:	Yes	No	
Agenda Item:	I	1		1		1		
Background Info:								
Recommendation:	Atta alemanta.	Vac	Na	Doord	wata na guina di	Vaa	No	
Time needed:	Attachments:	Yes	No	Board	vote required:	Yes	No	
Agenda Item:								
Background Info:								
Recommendation:	A	V	N	D. I		N.	N	
Time needed:	Attachments:	Yes	No	Board	vote required:	Yes	No	



From:	Division	/Program:		Meeting Date:		
Leslie Doely	Brands l	Enforcem	ent	December 15	, 2016	
Agenda Item: General Updat	tes					
Background Info:						
Recommendation:						
Time needed: 20 minutes	Attachments:	Yes	No X	Board vote required?	Yes	No X
Agenda Item: Out of state t	ravel request					
Background Info:						
Recommendation:						
Time needed: <b>10 minutes</b>	Attachments:	Yes X	No	Board vote required	Yes X	No

### **Department of Livestock**

1) Division Brands Enforcement

#### 2) Employees Traveling

4 employees:

estimated cost: Plane tickets (up to \$400 each;) hotels (\$69 plus tax per night for 3 nights), and \$150 for the conference registration fee = \$775 per person or \$3100 total

#### 3) Justification

This conference provides law enforcement and investigative training and important networking opportunities with livestock-related law enforcement from all over western US and Canada. The contacts our staff make at this conference provide an excellent network of resources from the entire western region that can provide assistance with investigations and interstate cases. They also provide information and context by placing a wide range of experiences to call on when needed.

4)	Itinerary
See	attached.

5) Submitted By	Requested I	Зу	Title		Date
	Leslie Doely	,	Administrator		11/29/2016
Approval - to be Completed by Agency Authorized Personnel					
Date Approved by Bo	bard	Board Chair / EO		Date	
NOTE: A travel expense voucher form must be filed within three months after incurring the travel expenses, otherwise the right to reimbursement will be waived.					



# ANNUAL TRAINING CONFERENCE (20 CEUs) March 7-9, 2017

At the Peppermill Reno Hotel Casino

# **CONFERENCE HIGHLIGHTS**

#### Tuesday, March 7, 2017

Hospitality and member networking

#### Wednesday, March 8, 2017 Session 1

Cognitive Interviews - Lou Tessmann Cognitive interviews reliably enhance the investigative process to elicit memory retrieval without generating inaccurate information, allowing for more accurate and detailed statements. Tessmann will teach how to retrieve more answers and truth from witnesses, victims and suspects; how to identify deceptive interviews; and how to distinguish memory information from fabricated information.

#### Session 2

#### Security in Livestock Production – Mike Smith, Harris Ranches

Agriculture investigators, brand inspectors and animal health professionals are the front line in stopping the threats of domestic and foreign terrorism to U.S. agriculture. Learn to recognize the signs of terrorism and maintain the security of the U.S. food supply.

#### Session 3

#### Performance, Leadership and Liability -Don Newman and Tim Miller

Identify the difference between leadership and management and their influences on an organization. Identify challenges of change and efforts that fail to include contrasting cultures and ethical principles. Newman and Miller will discuss the development of mission and performance criteria and how to use these factors to recognize and minimize personal and organizational liability.

#### Thursday, March 9, 2017

Session 1

Working with Indian Nations – Steve Juneau, Director, and Dale Askew, Assistant Director, U.S. Indian Academy, Bureau of Indian Affairs (BIA)

Juneau will provide an overview of the BIA, encompassing 201 Indian Country law enforcement departments in the U.S. He will discuss the common training of tribal police, tribal conservation officers; working relationships with tribes; field points of contact; and special BIA law enforcement commissions. Askew will give special emphasis to conservation officers – the livestock and agriculture specialists for the BIA nations – to help develop better working relationships and understanding.

#### Session 2

# Evidence Presentation in the Interview Room – Staff Sgt. Simon Pillay, Royal Canadian Mounted Police (RCMP)

Learn how the RCMP uses the presentation of evidence to an accused suspect during interview and interrogation to gain a full confession. Pillay will teach how to design a well-planned evidence presentation and how to use it to obtain more confessions and handle hostile witnesses.

#### Phased Interview Model - Staff Sgt. Michael McCauley, RCMP

McCauley will teach Phased Interview Model, the newest and leading technique for interviewing suspects. RCMP has successfully used this method in very difficult cases.

#### Session 3

#### Tracking - Dusty Whiting, Sierra Technical Advisory Group

This session is an introduction to man-tracking, an effective skill that will help locate evidence and track persons in rural and urban settings. Whiting will explore tools and techniques, differentiate between micro and macro tracking and familiarize you with terms used in a tracking report.

#### Session 4

#### "The Judges" Tom Watkins and Scott James

The Judges will educate us, in an entertaining fashion, on handling agriculture evidence and the demands on case investigation reports to ensure strong, successful prosecution in a society that fails to understand the need for strong property crime penalties.

#### **Thursday Evening**

WSLIA banquet and live auction – great food and fellowship to cap off the networking relationships you have established through this training event.



# **MEET OUR SPEAKERS**

#### Lou Tessmann

is a certified forensic interviewer with more than 21 years of investigative experience. As an instructor and motivational



speaker with Wicklander-Zulawski, Tessmann provides instruction in interview and interrogation methods to law enforcement professionals.

#### Mike Smith

is special projects manager for Harris Ranch, one of the largest family-owned agribusinesses in the U.S. Smith earned his Master of Science in animal science from Oklahoma State University. He has served in the California Cattlemen's Association and National Cattlemen's Beef Association, working on beef quality assurance standards and security.

#### Captain Tim Miller

is the executive director for the Idaho Peace Officers Association, appointed by the Idaho Governor to the Medal of Honor

Commission and is a POST-certified instructor in Emergency Vehicle Operations Course, Firearms, and Patrol Rifle. He has more than 33 years of experience serving the Twin Falls, Idaho, police and sheriff departments, and has managed the Law Enforcement Training Program at the College of Southern Idaho.

#### Chief Deputy Don Newman

has more than 26 years with Twin Falls County sheriff's office in Idaho. He has served as a patrol deputy,



sergeant, lieutenant, and for the past 6 years as captain. Chief Deputy Newman has participated in multiple areas of the office including the field training program and SWAT, and currently manages the DRONE program.

.....

#### Director Steve Juneau

leads the U.S. Indian Police Academy at FLETC-Artesia for the Bureau of Indian Affairs (BIA) Office of Justice Services. After



serving in the U.S. Army, he rejoined the BIA to serve as a police officer, sergeant, chief of police, and special agent throughout Indian Country. He has served as a deputy chief of training, assistant district commander, and special agent in charge at two BIA district offices. He served as the deputy associate director for field operations when based in Washington, D.C., supervising all BIA districts.

#### Sgt. Simon Pillay

joined the Royal Canadian Mounted Police (RCMP) in 2000 and leads the RCMP Serious Crimes Branch South General Investigation Section, Auto Theft Section and Livestock Investigation Section in Calgary, Alberta. During his policing career he has worked uniform patrol in central Alberta, homicide in northern Alberta, cold case homicide in Saskatchewan and covert investigations across western Canada. He has been active in all forms of covert investigations, and has trained on the national level in Canada and with the FBI in the U.S.

#### Sgt. Mike McCauley

joined the RCMP in 2002 and served at detachments in the lower mainland of British Columbia (greater Vancouver area) from 2002 to 2012 in both uniform and plain clothes capacities. In 2012, he transferred to the Integrated Homicide Investigation Team, the largest homicide unit in the country. He was a member of the part-time British Columbia Interview and Interrogation Team from 2005 to 2013, and was recruited to transfer to Alberta in 2014 to start a Provincial Interview Team.

#### Dustin Whiting,

Sierra Technical Advisory Group, retired as a special agent for the U.S. Department of the Interior BIA. He is a DPS game ranger



for the White Mountain Apache Tribe in Arizona. Whiting earned a BA degree in Human Services and Criminal Justice with Associates in Police Science Technology. Whiting served as a criminal investigator, polygraph examiner, special agent for the Department of Defense, state and federal law enforcement instructor and instructor for Sinte Gleska University, South Dakota.

.....

#### ALSO SPEAKING:

**Tom Watkins** is a magistrate judge from Idaho. He has served as deputy attorney general assigned to the Idaho State Police, assisting with many livestock issues.

**Scott James** is a public defender in Idaho. He has served as a prosecutor for the Idaho attorney general and county prosecutor, and has extensive experience with prosecuting livestock crime.

# **CONFERENCE REGISTRATION**

To register, visit www.wslia.org

### **CONFERENCE HEADQUARTERS HOTEL** Peppermill Reno Hotel Casino

2707 South Virginia Street, Reno, NV 89502 1-866-821-9996, www.peppermillreno.com



From: Layton	Division/ Laborato	Program: rv	Diagnost	ic Meeting Da	ate: D	ec, 201	6		
Agenda Item Hazardous Waste		, y							
Just informed that EPA will not allo and create a contract. MVDL is con MSU would end within the next 6 r determine the manner of collection	Just informed that EPA will not allow MSU to pick up our hazardous waste. We will need to identify a courier and create a contract. MVDL is considered a small generator (less than 3000 pounds). Our current pick-up by MSU would end within the next 6 months. Already have DEQ number, so more a matter of finding a courier, determine the manner of collection and cost and establishing a contract. Vast majority of our waste is formalin, alcohol and acetone								
Recommendation: Must do Time needed: 5min	Attachments:			Poard voto roquiro	42				
Agenda Item : Fossmatic –Milk I				Board vote require	a:				
Agenda Item - Tossinatic -Miki									
The governor's budget did not include the Fossmatic milk analyzer, as requested. This machine is in the Milk Laboratory and is 20 years old. Sometime in 2018, the company will discontinue service and parts will not be available. Quality of results could be compromised because of the unavailability of maintenance and if the machine goes down, testing would either have to be modified or discontinued. The machine measures fat, solids non- fat, lactose and protein in raw and finished milk products and these tests comprise about 50% of the test volume of the section. The importance of these tests is for the laboratory to provide oversight of other state laboratories results used for producer compensation and adherence to Montana published standards for consumer protection. We could continue fat determination with a manual method if the machine fails but that requires increase time and labor to complete the test and the need for addition glassware supplies. Manual tests for the other measurements are not available. Outside referral could be required. This machine going down will compromise MVDL oversight responsibilities and result in increased labor time.									
Recommendation: Information for		uest feed l	back		, ,				
Time needed: 15m	Attachments:			Board vote require	ea		<u> </u>		
Agenda Item Road construction update         The drive way could be closed until the end of December. Construction is halted at this time due to         manufacturing of customized spacers for the pipe. Expect delivery within a week . Instillation will commence         and drive way repaying will need to be completed. The company has leveled MVDL drive way at no cost.         Recommendation: FYI									
Time needed: 5m	Attachments:			Board vote require	ed:				
Agenda Item: Brucella	I	I	<u> </u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
High volume testing during the ho	lidays								

Recommendation: Information

Time needed:	Attachments:	yes	no	Board vote required:	yes	
Agenda Item: Other items, if ari	se					
Background Info:						
Recommendation:						
Time needed:	Attachments:	yes	no	Board vote required:	yes	



Agenda Request Form

From: George Harris		Division/Program:Date:Centralized ServicesDecember 15, 20December 15, 20December 15, 20					
Agenda Item: Executive Bu	dget 2019 Bienı	nium			Board Meeting		
Background Info:							
George will review with the Board th				iennial	Executive Budge	t. He wi	ll
cover the main points of the budget a	and respond to a	ny questio	ons.				
Recommendation:	r.						
	Attachments:				vote required?		No X
Agenda Item: State Special Revenue Collection Comparison FY 2016 with FY 2017 Actuals							
Background Info: George will present the FY 2017 stat the same period last fiscal year.	e special revenue	e collection	ns throug	h Nove	mber 30, 2016 co	mpared	to
Recommendation:							
	Attachments:		No		vote required:	Yes	No x
Agenda Item: FY 2017 Expendit	ure Projections	to Fiscal Y	Year End	(FYE)	2017		
Background Info: George will go over the expenditure	e projections to F	YE 2017 b	y prograi	m and r	espond to any qu	estions.	
Recommendation:							
Time needed: 20 minutes	Attachments:	Yes	No	Board	l vote required:	Yes	No X
Agenda Item: Budget Status Thr	ough November	2016					
Background Info: The budget status reports submitte FY 2017 budget compared to FY 20 period last fiscal year.							
Recommendation:							
Time needed: 5 minutes	Attachments:	Yes	No	Board	l vote required:	Yes	No X
Agenda Item: Lab Cost Analysis		1				1	
Background Info: We have been working with the lab managers, our EO and board members on the lab cost analysis. Evan Waters will update the Board on the lab cost accounting special project. Recommendation:							
Time needed: 15 minutes	Attachments:	Yes	No	Board	vote required:	Yes	No X
Agenda Item: Information Techno	ology Update						
Background Info: Our new IT manager, Dan Olson will we have been working on to service Recommendation:	-	l on the in	formatio	n techn	ology projects an	d items	that

Time needed: 10 minutes	Attachments:	Yes	No	Board vote required:	Yes	No X
Agenda Item: Per Capita Refunds	s – Livestock mo	ved betw	een state	S		
Background Info:						
As per 15-24-922 (3)(a) livestock p	roducers my app	ly to the B	oard of Li	vestock for a refund of p	oer capit	a fee
based on the ratio of months that li	vestock do not ha	ve situs ir	n the State	. Such requests will be p	resente	d to
the Board for approval.						
Recommendation:						
Time needed: 5 minutes	Attachments:	Yes	No	Board vote required:	Yes	No
					Х	



# **2017 Livestock Reporting Form**

- E.

Montana law requires all livestock owners to report the number of livestock owned as of February 1.

### What do I need to do?

**By March 1**, report the number of livestock you owned as of February 1. **By May 31**, pay your livestock per capita fees.

#### What kind of livestock do I need to report?

See the list of livestock types in the reporting table provided.

Even if you owned just one horse and a few chickens, you still need to report. If you reported last year, but no longer own livestock, you still need to submit a reporting form to let us know that your livestock count is zero.

# How do I report and pay?

There are two ways to report livestock. The reporting form is due March 1, 2017, with or without payment. If you do not pay with your reporting form, you will be billed in May. Payment is due May 31.

Online at <b>reportyourlivestock.mt.gov</b>	<u>OR</u>	Mail completed reporting form and payment to:
<ul> <li>Login to ePass.</li> <li>Report your livestock.</li> <li>Option to pay by e-check or credit card (additional processing fees).</li> </ul>		Montana Department of Revenue PO Box 6169 Helena, MT 59604-6169 Make check payable to Montana Department of Revenue.

# What are livestock per capita fees used for?

Per capita fees fund Department of Livestock programs that monitor animal health, monitor and restrict livestock imports, track animal movements, prevent and investigate livestock theft and manage predators.

**Note:** Some counties have a separate fee to fund predatory animal control (PAC) for cattle and sheep at the local government level. The cattle and sheep head counts collected by the Department of Revenue for per capita fees are also used by the local County Treasurer's Office for PAC fee collection on personal property or real property tax bills.

### What if I have questions?

- Call us toll free at (866) 859-2254 (in Helena, 444-6900)
- Visit revenue.mt.gov

# **2017 Livestock Reporting Form**

1.	Name			
	Address			
	City	State	Zip	
2.	Owner's ID: SSN	or FEIN		
3.	Daytime Phone Number			
4.	Email			

5. Report your livestock in the table. If needed, complete a separate table for each county where livestock are located on February 1, 2017. Make sure to indicate the county name on each table.

Report poultry and bees, swine three months of age or older and all other livestock nine months of age or older as of February 1, 2017.

	Property ID     (If known please provide. Format of ID number is XX-XXXXXXXXXXX.)					
Livestock Type	A Head Count (as of 02/01/2017)	B	C Calculate Total			
Horses, Mules and Asses (ponies, donkeys, burros)		x \$5.85 =				
Cattle (cows, bulls, yearlings)		x \$2.29 =				
Domestic Bison		x \$6.38 =	nality respected for the second respect to the second second second second second second second second second s			
		x \$0.54 =				
Swine (report all swine three months of age or older)		x \$0.78 =				
generation and the second s		x \$0.54 =				
Poultry (chickens, turkeys, geese, ducks and other domestic birds raised as food or to produce feathers)		x \$0.05 =				
Bees (number of hives or boards)		x \$0.41 =				
Alternative Livestock (privately owned caribou, mule deer, whitetail deer, elk, moose, antelope, mountain sheep, mountain goats indigenous to Montana)		x \$26.33 =				
Ratites (ostriches, rheas, emus)		x \$9.73 =				
Llamas and Alpacas		x \$9.73 =				
This is your total Per Capita		ts in column C. or this county.	\$			

# **Affirmation and Signature**

I affirm that the information provided in this reporting form is true, correct and complete.

# Livestock Owner Signature \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

#### Important!

- Amount Paid \_\_\_\_\_
- Reporting form is due March 1, 2017, with or without payment.

# VIONTANA DEPARTMENT OF LIVES PER CAPITA FEE REFUND REQUEST CALCULATOR REPORTING PERIOD JANUARY 1, 2016 TO DECEMBER 31, 2016

Owner: Richard Ma	Date transferred from Montana alcom, 414 Big H	Date transferred to Montana ill Rd, Baker, N	Number of days outside of Montana IT 59313	Months outside of Montana	Number of Livestock Transferred	Per Capita Fee	Amount of Refund
Date of Request:	10/31/2016				-		
Cows Bulls	6/16/2016 6/16/2016	10/25/2016 7/20/2016	131 34	4.3068 1.1178	243 9	2.29 2.29	199.72 
Owner: <u>Clifford Sta</u> Date of Request:	andard 104 Rollo 12/1/2016	ing Hills Trl, Ba	ker, MT 59313		-		
Cows	5/23/2016	11/3/2016	164	5.3918	- 343	2.29	352.92
		·····	-	0.0000	<u> </u>	2.29	
	<u> </u>						352.92
Owner: Betty A Ste	en 115 Tatlev Ro	d Baker. Mt 593	313-9764				
Date of Request:	12/10/2016				-		
	5/24/2016	10/25/2016	154	5.0630	- 208	2.29	200.97
			-	0.0000	<u></u>	2.29	_
							200.97
Owner: Alvin Corde	ell 13126 Little N	1issouri Rd Cam	np Crook, SD 57	724			
Date of Request:	11/25/2016		······		-		
	10/15/2015	3/15/2016	152	4.9973	525	2.29	500.66
			-	0.0000		2.29	
							500.66

Update information underlined and in blue. All other information will be calculated.

Total Refunds

1,256.19

Montana Code Annotated 2015

#### 15-24-922. Board of livestock to prescribe per capita fee -- refunds.

(3) (a) A livestock owner who moves livestock between states is entitled to a refund of the per capita fee collected under 15-24-921 based on the number of months that the livestock have situs in Montana. The amount of the refund is equal to the ratio of the number of months that the livestock do not have situs in the state to the number of months in the year, multiplied by the original per capita fee due. A taxpayer shall apply to the board of livestock on a form prescribed by the board for a refund allowed under this subsection by January 31 of the following year. The application must include a statement showing the date when the livestock were moved out of the state.
(b) For the purposes of 15-24-921 and this section, the per capita fee may not be prorated.



Agenda Request Form

Γ							
From:	Division/		Meat and	l	Meeting Date: D	ecembe/	er 15,
Gary Hamel	Poultry Ir	nspection			2016		
Agenda Item General Program U	Juales						
Background Info: General Program	Updates						
Recommendation:							
Time needed: 10 minutes	Attachments:	Yes	No X	Board	vote required?	Yes	No X
	ram Updates		-				-
Background Info:	<b>F</b>						
Recommendation:							
Time needed:	Attachments:	Yes	No	Board	vote required	Yes	No
Agenda Item:	<u></u>				4		1
Background Info:							
5							
Recommendation:							
Time needed:	Attachments:	Yes	No	Board	vote required:	Yes	No
Agenda Item:	<u> </u>				1		
Background Info:							
Daekground mio.							
Recommendation:							
Time needed:	Attachments:	Yes	No	Board	vote required:	Yes	No
	nitaennients.	103	NO	Doard	vote required.	103	NO
Agenda Item:							
Background Info:							
C							
Recommendation:							
Time needed:	Attachments:	Yes	No	Board	vote required:	Yes	No
1	1	1	1	1		1	1



#### November 30, 2016

# **1.** From: Marty ZaluskiDivision/Program: Animal Health

### Agenda Item: Proposed Alternative Livestock Rule Changes

The Animal Health Division received a request from an alternative livestock producer to consider allowing producers to apply tags to alternative livestock and collect samples for CWD submission. Currently only an alternative livestock accredited veterinarian or other department designated agent may perform those tasks. After consulting with other states that have alternative livestock programs it is clear that the majority of those states allow producers to perform these tasks. The rule changes requested below reflect changes necessary to allow Montana alternative livestock producers to apply tags and collect CWD samples from their own animals.

# 32.4.201 IDENTIFICATION OF ALTERNATIVE LIVESTOCK WITH THE EXCLUSION OF OMNIVORES AND CARNIVORES

(3) Under the authority of 87-4-414, MCA, and 9 CFR 55 and 81, each alternative livestock will be marked with two forms of official identification approved by the department. One approved method of identification will be the Montana official eartag.

(a) Montana official eartags must be applied by a department-designated agent.Official ear tags will be issued to and applied by alternative livestock accredited veterinarians or other department-designated agents. Alternative livestock accredited veterinarians may choose to delegate authority to apply tags to alternative livestock producers within the context of a valid veterinarian-client-patient-relationship. In the circumstance that a producer applies tags to alternative livestock the following conditions must be met:

(i) Alternative livestock producers may only apply tags to their own animals and to animals in herds that have achieved CWD certified status.

(ii) Alternative livestock producers may only apply tags to calves born in the same year as the tagging event. All tagging must be completed and reported to the Department of Livestock prior to January 1. Tagging information must be reported to the Department of Livestock on an official department form within 5 days of the tagging event. If producers request an extension for the January 1 tagging deadline a veterinarian must then apply the tags.

(iii) Alternative livestock producers may not apply replacement tags or tags to adult

### <u>animals.</u>

(b) USDA official eartags and Montana official eartags are nontransferable and can only be removed from an alternative livestock animal by a department-designated agent.

(c) Montana official eartags that are lost from alternative livestock must be surrendered to a department-designated agent or the department as soon as possible after the retrieval of the tag.

(d) All animal identification tags retrieved from alternative livestock by the departmentdesignated agent shall be submitted to the department Helena office.

(4) The unauthorized removal of a Montana official eartag or USDA official eartag, or the alteration or reuse of tags shall constitute a violation of this rule.

(5) The alteration of a whole herd mark except as outlined in (2)(b) of this rule shall constitute a violation of this rule and 81-3-221, MCA. (History: 87-4-422, MCA; <u>IMP</u>, 87-4-422 MCA; <u>NEW</u>, 1999 MAR p. 136, Eff. 1/15/99; <u>AMD</u>, 2010 MAR p. 2974, Eff. 12/24/10; <u>AMD</u>, 2013 MAR p. 414, Eff. 3/29/13.)

# 32.4.1302 REQUIREMENTS FOR MANDATORY SURVEILLANCE OF MONTANA

<u>ALTERNATIVE LIVESTOCK FARM CERVIDAE FOR CHRONIC WASTING DISEASE</u> (1) The licensee must present his entire herd annually for inspection by a designated agent of the department. The department will verify alternative livestock game farm animal's identification and the alternative livestock inventory must reconcile with the department's records.

(2) The licensee must report all alternative livestock deaths to the department (Helena office) within one day of the discovery of death as required by 87-4-415, MCA.

(3) Upon the discovery of dead cervids, the licensee must immediately request an inspection of the alternative livestock as required by ARM 32.4.301. At the time of the inspection of the dead animal, the alternative livestock veterinarian shall remove the currently required tissue samples and/or specimens and submit them to a department-approved laboratory for testing for chronic wasting disease (CWD).

(a) An alternative livestock licensee with a valid veterinarian-client-patient-relationship with an alternative livestock may collect CWD samples from a dead cervid if the licensee has been trained in sample collection by the alternative livestock veterinarian. Licensees may only collect samples from animals from CWD certified status herds owned by the licensee.

(i) Training for CWD sample collection will involve the veterinarian supervising the licensee through collection of CWD samples from at least 2 animals prior to the licensee being allowed to collect samples unsupervised.

(ii) If a licensee collects CWD samples they must submit the currently required tissue samples to an alternative livestock veterinarian along with the animal's ear(s) containing official identification tags and tattoo.

(iii) The alternative livestock veterinarian will be responsible for submitting CWD samples to a department-approved laboratory for testing as well as completing an inspection certificate for submission to the department along with the official identification tags removed from the ear(s).

(iv) If a licensee collects a sample that is unsuitable for CWD testing due to poor sample collection technique the licensee must be re-trained by an alternative livestock veterinarian before being allowed to collect any further CWD samples. If a licensee continues to collect unsuitable samples after re-training the licensee will no longer be able to collect CWD samples and the CWD certified status of their herd may be reduced.

(ab) The state veterinarian may, at his discretion, grant a waiver to tissue sample and/or specimen submission from alternative livestock. The following conditions may be considered:

(i) The licensee's herd is of CWD monitored herd status level I or greater (or the equivalent thereof), as required by ARM 32.4.1303, and the animal has not had contact with animals of lesser status.

(ii) The animal for which a waiver is requested must have resided on the licensee's alternative livestock farm for 12 months or have resided in the herd from which it is transported for a period of 12 months.

(iii) The licensee must be in compliance with all requirements of Title 87, chapter 4, part 4, MCA and rules promulgated pursuant to this part.

(iv) The licensed alternative livestock farm must have no documented cases of ingress of wild cervids or egress of alternative livestock within the 18-month period immediately preceding the request for a waiver. If it is determined by the state veterinarian there has been no

compromise in the surveillance status of the herd, this criteria may be waived in the application for a waiver to CWD surveillance.

(v) There have been no breaches in perimeter fence integrity that may have compromised the CWD surveillance status on the alternative livestock herd.

(bc) The state veterinarian may grant a waiver with stipulations that may include, but is not limited to, additional whole herd inspections. A waiver from CWD surveillance does not exempt the licensee from any other requirements for inspection or testing of alternative livestock.

(ed) The state veterinarian may not grant a waiver to the mandatory surveillance required in this rule for an entire herd or for a cervid from a herd that has been identified as a CWD affected, exposed or trace herd.

(de) The licensee is responsible for all costs incurred for the examination of alternative livestock farm cervids, the inspection services, the collection and submission of tissue sample and/or specimens, and the laboratory diagnostic costs.

(4) Failure to comply with the requirements of this rule may result in the following:

(a) The monitored status of the herd may be reclassified to "suspended."

(b) The cervid herd may be placed under a hold order.

(c) The department may consider failure to comply with this rule as a violation of 87-4-427, MCA.

(5) Any person having knowledge that an alternative livestock farm cervid has been diagnosed as affected with CWD or exposed to CWD must report that knowledge to the department as required by ARM 32.4.1001. (History: 81-2-103, 87-4-422, MCA; IMP, 81-2-103, 87-4-422, MCA; NEW, 1999 MAR p. 652, Eff. 4/9/99; AMD, 2010 MAR p. 2974, Eff. 12/24/10; AMD, 2013 MAR p. 414, Eff. 3/29/13; AMD, 2013 MAR p. 2308, Eff. 12/13/13.)

Time needed: 20 MIN Attachments:	YES	Board vote required?	YES
----------------------------------	-----	----------------------	-----

2. From: Marty Zaluski Division/Program: Animal Health

Agenda Item: Out of State Travel Request

Equine Forum: Advancing ID, Technology, and Electronic Health Records January 17-18, 2017 Denver, CO

Background Info: The equine forum provides an opportunity for state animal health officials, USDA, and industry to come together and discuss all aspects of equine identification in order to identify solutions that will serve the needs of both industry and state animal health officials.

Animal health is requesting permission for one veterinarian to attend.

Estimated cost of attendance:

Registration:	\$200
Travel (estimate):	\$700
Lodging (estimate):	\$300
Per Diem:	\$138
Total	\$1338

Travel would be covered under Animal Disease Traceability.

Recommendation: Board Approva	1			
Time needed: 10 MIN	Attachments:	YES	Board vote required?	YES

<b>3.</b> From: Marty Zaluski	Division/	Program: Animal H	Jealth	
Agenda Item: Blanket request to		0		
				hin the
The Animal Health Compliance To	1	1	1	
department, effective December 5,	• 1		1	
computer system, this position is c	ritical in comply	ing with federal an	imal traceability require	ments, and
facilitating more efficient disease i	nvestigations.			
_	-			
This position entered animal inform	nation from 4,58	32 paper health cert	ificates into the Animal	Health
Division USAHerds database in 20		1 1		
		1		1
certificates with the remainder being primarily from electronic sources that did not require hand entry.				
There is no staff available to perform this duty until this position is filled and Montana is currently 5+				
1	•	-	led and Montalia is curre	July J+
months behind on data entry of Montana origin CVIs.				
This position was advertised at \$13.07 to \$13.56 per hour. This position is funded by the federal traceability				
cooperative agreement.				
Time needed: 10 MIN	Attachments:	No	Board vote required?	YES

4. From: Marty Zaluski	Division/	Program: Animal H	Health	
Agenda Item: General Updates				
<ol> <li>Brucellosis epi. Investigation</li> <li>Canadian TB investigation</li> <li>General health updates</li> </ol>				
Time needed: 15 MIN At	ttachments:	No	Board vote required?	No

# STATE OF MONTANA

Department of Livestock	1) Division Animal Health
- 1	

2) Employees Traveling Tahnee Szymanski, DVM

#### 3) Justification

Equine Forum: Advancing ID, Technology, and Electronic Health Records January 17-18, 2017 Denver, CO

Background Info: The equine forum provides an opportunity for state animal health officials, USDA, and industry to come together and discuss all aspects of equine identification in order to identify solutions that will serve the needs of both industry and state animal health officials.

Animal health is requesting permission for one veterinarian to attend.

The meeting is to be held January 17-18 in Denver Colorado.

Estimated cost of attendance:					
Registration:	\$200				
Travel (estimate):	\$700				
Lodging (estimate):	\$300				
Per Diem:	\$138				
Total	\$1338				

Travel would be covered under Animal Disease Traceability.

#### 4) Itinerary

Travel to Denver - January 16, 2017 Attend Equine Forum - January 17-18, 2017 (http://www.animalagriculture.org/2017-Equine-Forum/Agenda) Return Travel - Janyar 18, 2017

5) Submitted By	Requested By		Title		Date			
	Marty Zaluski		State Veterinarian		12/2/2017			
Approval - to be Completed by Agency Authorized Personnel								
Date Approved by Bo	bard	Board Chair / EO		Date				
NOTE: A travel expense voucher form must be filed within three months after incurring the travel expenses, otherwise the right to reimbursement will be waived.								



Agenda Request Form

From:	Division /	Division/Program:		Meeting Date:			
Chad Lee		Milk Control Bureau		<b>December 15, 2016</b>			
Agenda Item:	Milk Collition Bulleau December 13, 2010						
Background Info: General Update							
Recommendation:							
Time needed: 10 min.	Attachments:	Yes	No X	Board	vote required?	Yes	No X
<u>Agenda Item:</u>							
Background Info:							
Recommendation:							
Time needed:	Attachments:	Yes	No	Board	vote required	Yes	No
Agenda Item:	Theatennie	100	110	Dourd	votorequireu	105	110
Background Info:							
Recommendation:							
Time needed:	Attachments:	Yes	No	Board	vote required:	Yes	No
Agenda Item:							
Background Info:							
Recommendation:		-					_
Time needed:	Attachments:	Yes	No	Board	vote required:	Yes	No
Agenda Item:	I						
Background Info:							
0							
Recommendation:		1	T	1		1	1
Time needed:	Attachments:	Yes	No	Board	vote required:	Yes	No